SAINS TANAH – Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology

Journal homepage: http://jurnal.uns.ac.id/tanah

# Soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming: A case study in Banyumas Regency, Indonesia

# Supriyadi<sup>1</sup>, Reni Ustiatik<sup>2\*</sup>, Brilliant Mukti<sup>3</sup>, Slamet Minardi<sup>1</sup>, Hery Widijanto<sup>1</sup>, Muchammad Bima Gegana Sakti<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

<sup>2</sup> Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Brawijaya University, Malang, Indonesia

<sup>3</sup> Undergraduate Program of Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

<sup>4</sup> Master Program of Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Surakarta, Indonesia

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
Keywords:	Soil quality is the ability of the soil to perform its function, such as providing nourishment
Nutrients deficiency;	to the plants. However, intensive paddy farming, such as Hazton's paddy farming method,
Food security;	is suspected to deteriorate soil quality status and degrade land sustainability. This study
Intensive management;	aimed to analyze soil quality under Hazton's paddy farming. This study was conducted on
Land degradation;	paddy fields in Banyumas Regency using a randomized block design with treatment
Overburdened land	consisting of 1) conventional method as a control, 2) Hazton's method + organic fertilizer,
	3) Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer, and 4) Hazton's method + organic
Article history	fertilizer + decomposer + leaf fertilizer. Soil quality was determined according to a
Submitted: 2022-01-11	minimum data set (MDS) that consisted of organic C, pH, total N, available phosphorus (P)
Accepted: 2022-06-03	and potassium (K), base saturation (BS), cation exchange capacity (CEC), bacterial density,
Available online: 2022-07-01	soil respiration, and C/N ratio. The MDS was scored and calculated using the soil quality
Published regularly: December	index formula and then classified from very low to very high (<0.19–1). This study
2022	highlighted that the soil quality in paddy farm using Hazton's method in Banyumas Regency
* Courses and in a Author	ranged from low (0.444) to very low (0.308). The application of organic fertilizer is not
	sufficient enough to refill the nutrient pool equal to harvested plant biomass. This leads to
rani ustiatik@ub.ac.id	son quality deterioration and anects land sustainability. Inerefore, yield and biomass
Terri.ustiatik@ub.uc.iu	further soil degradation can be avoided by continuously accessing soil quality and the
	necessary conservation measures for preventing and minimizing further land degradation
	can be applied.

**How to Cite**: Supriyadi, Ustiatik, R., Mukti, B., Minardi, S., Widijanto, H., Sakti, M.B.G. (2022). Soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming: A case study in Banyumas Regency, Indonesia. Sains Tanah Journal of Soil Science and Agroclimatology, 19(2): 123-131. https://dx.doi.org/10.20961/stjssa.v19i2.58375

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Asian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) is the primary food commodity of Indonesia that is consumed in large quantities as it is a staple food for the majority of the Indonesian population (Merang et al., 2020). In Indonesia, rice is mostly cultivated in wetlands, which are characterized as heavy and overburdened agricultural practices (Lakitan et al., 2018). Increasing rice demand has resulted in the urgent of increase in rice production through various efforts, including rice intensification through fertilization and mechanization (Lakitan et al., 2018; Liliane & Charles, 2020). However, the excess use of agrochemicals (such as fertilizer, herbicide, and pesticide) for the maximization of crop yield has adverse effects not only on human health, non-target organisms, and the environment but also on the soil quality (Elahi et al., 2019). Another rice intensification method that is applied in Indonesia (specifically in Central Java) is the Hazton's method, in which 20–30 seedlings (20–30 days old after seeding) are placed in each planting hole for a quicker harvest and good seedling adaptation (Kementan, 2016). A previous study reported that applying a high dose of fertilizer only increases plant height, and nitrogen (N) and potassium (K) contents in the rice plant tissue, not the total yield (weight of 1000 grains) (Robbani et al., 2018). This method might be harmful to the soil quality due to the excessive use of fertilizer.

Soil quality is the ability of the soil to perform its functions and provide multiple ecosystem services, such as maintaining crop productivity, preserving and maintaining water availability, and supporting human activities (M. Tahat et al., 2020; Martunis et al., 2016). Several factors drive soil quality deterioration, such as continuous N fertilizer application in paddy soil, leading to the suppression of certain beneficial bacteria and thus altering soil biodiversity and rice productivity (Nabiollahi et al., 2018). Soil quality status can be evaluated using the primary indicators of soil quality that are integrated with soil physical, chemical, and biological properties (Anup & Ghimire, 2019), either as a sole indicator or composite (integrated) indicators (Domínguez-Haydar et al., 2019; Mei et al., 2019). These indicators are then scored and compiled into a minimum data set (MDS), where the MDS represents the minimum indicators that can be used to assess soil quality (Juhos et al., 2019; Mei et al., 2019). The most common soil quality indicators are organic matter, pH, available phosphorus (P), and water storage. Also, some under-represented indicators exhibit great potential, specifically biological/biochemical indicators such as microbial biomass carbon (C), dehydrogenase activity, N mineralization potential, and the number of earthworms (Bünemann et al., 2018).

A previous study by Qi et al. (2022) demonstrated that soil organic C is a critical indicator for monitoring soil health and environmental pollution mitigation. The organic contents in soil are crucial for soil aggregate stability, soil microorganisms, and soil nutrient status (Naresh et al., 2017). The most important source of organic matter in the paddy field is the harvested biomass, such as rice straw (Huang et al., 2021). However, in most methods of paddy farming (conventional and Hazton's method), rice straw is usually harvested and moved from the field for other use, such as feedstock and burned as compost or biochar (Bhattacharyya et al., 2021; Truong et al., 2022). Due to these practices, paddy soil is heavily used for intensive paddy farming without additional organic matter input, which leads to major land degradation and soil fertility deterioration (Ali et al., 2019). Moreover, some major constraints that decrease rice production are poor growth conditions (low soil quality status), poor irrigation systems, and insufficient essential nutrients for plants (low soil fertility status) (Liliane & Charles, 2020; Livsey et al., 2019).

To monitor soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming, continuous monitoring of the soil quality is important because soil quality changes in response to environmental changes and human interventions (Xie et al., 2020). Furthermore, the field productivity is determined by the soil fertility and management system, such as fertilization, land management, irrigation system, and returning organic material from the crop residues after harvest to the field (Delgado et al., 2021). Therefore analyzing soil quality status on paddy fields where Hazton's method is applied as a viewpoint for decision-makers in soil quality and fertility management is necessary (Lakitan et al., 2018; Liliane & Charles, 2020). Due to the high population pressure in some areas (e.g., Indonesia and Kenya), the soil degradation rate outpaces agriculture intensification (Mugizi & Matsumoto, 2020). This evidence is quite astonishing because the global population and food demand are intertwined and increase over time (Smith & Archer, 2020). Thus, assessment of the soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming is important because information related to the soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming remains unclear. Moreover, heavy tillage in paddy farming potentially degrades soil quality and reduces crop production that treats food security due to yield reduction (Gomiero, 2016). By assessing the soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming, decision-makers can prevent further land degradation on the field where Hazton's paddy farming has been applied as well as food production deterioration, thus preventing food insecurity (Qi et al., 2022). A previous study by Wang et al. (2018) demonstrated that soil quality is a powerful tool for determining soil degradation status. This study aimed to analyze the soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming in Banyumas Regency by determining the primary soil quality indicators of soil chemical, physical, and biological indicators to synthesize an MDS, In this study, the soil quality index was calculated, and the soil quality class was classified. The information about soil quality class can be beneficial for managers and decisionmakers for managing land sustainability for rice production.



**Figure 1**. Map of the study site: KO = conventional method (control); H = Hazton's method + organic fertilizer; HD = Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer; HDD = Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer + leaf fertilizer.

# 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

# 2.1. Study site

This study was conducted on paddy fields in the Banyumas Regency from March to November 2019. Laboratory analysis was conducted at the Laboratory of Soil Science Department, Faculty of Agriculture, Universitas Sebelas Maret. Herein, a randomized block design was employed, with treatment consisting of 1) conventional method (KO) as a control, 2) Hazton's method + organic fertilizer (H), 3) Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer (HD), and 4) Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer + leaf fertilizer A total of 12 sampling locations from the (HDD). representative paddy fields (KO, H, HD, and HDD) were considered and there were three replications per treatment. The samples were collected from the rhizospheric zone at a depth of 10-15 cm. In each field, the soil samples were collected from five different points and were then composited into a plastic bag. Sample collection was performed at three different time points after the harvest period in 2019 (March, July, and September), representing the different seasons in Indonesia (rainy and dry seasons). Hazton's method has been applied in the field for over 2 years (since mid 2016). The average size of the experimental field was 15 × 8 m<sup>2</sup>. Per treatment (KO, H, HD, and HDD), 350 kg/ha N fertilizer (urea) and 300 kg/ha compound fertilizer (N, P<sub>2</sub>O, K, S; Phonska), 700 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> organic fertilizer, 2-4 L ha<sup>-1</sup> decomposer, and 120 g ha<sup>-1</sup> leaf fertilizer (micro fertilizer) were utilized. The sampled field has a technical irrigation system and were located in Tinggarjaya Village (Figure 1), Jatillawang Sub-regency, Banyumas Regency, Central Java (109°04'12.0"-109°06'19.0" E and 7°31'55.71"-7°32'18.5" S), at 24–28 m above sea level. The average field temperature was 26.3°C and the annual rainfall was 1,842 mm/year. Furthermore, the soil type was Inceptisols (USDA Soil Taxonomy), with the geological type of alluvium (river sediment). The slope range was between 1% and 2% (flat).

# 2.2. Soil sampling and analysis

Soil samples were collected from four representative treatments of paddy fields (KO, H, HD, and HDD) with three replications in each representative field using a purposive sampling method. The samples were analyzed for physical (soil texture), chemical (soil pH, total N, available P, available potassium (K), cation exchange capacity (CEC), base saturation, and organic C, and biological (soil respiration and soil bacterial density: total and total N-fixing bacteria) indicators. The indicators were selected according to Moebius-Clune et al. (2016) for "Comprehensive Assessment of Soil Health" from Cornell University. These indicators were analyzed according to the guidelines set by Indonesia Soil Research Institute 2009 (Eviati & Sulaeman, 2009). Soil texture was analyzed using the pipette method and soil pH (pH H<sub>2</sub>O) was measured using the pH meter. Total N was determined using the Kieldahl method and available P was determined using the Olsen method (soil pH >5.5). The method of ammonium acetate extraction was employed to analyze soil available K, CEC, and BS. The extracted solutions were then measured via atomic absorbance spectrophotometry and flame photometry.

Table 1.	Classification	of soil	quality index
TUDIC I.	classification	01 3011	quanty mach

	Tor son quanty mack	
Soil Quality	Range	Class
Very high	0.80 - 1	1
High	0.60 - 0.79	2
Moderate	0.35 – 0.59	3
Low	0.20 - 0.34	4
Very low	≤0.19	5

**Remarks** : classification of soil quality index according to Sofo et al. (2022) with modification

Organic C was analyzed using the Walkey and Black method. Soil respiration ( $CO_2$  evolution) was analyzed using the titrimetric method. Total bacterial colonies were isolated using nutrient agar medium, whereas N-fixing bacteria were isolated using Jensen medium, both were enumerated using the standard plate count method.

# 2.3. Analysis of soil quality index

The obtained data were subjected to the principal component analysis (PCA) and then determined using the MDS from the selected soil physical, chemical, and biological indicators (Li et al., 2019). The selected indicators for the MDS was determined according to the eigenvalue in PCA; indicators within the eigenvalue with cumulative >75% (0.75) were selected. Pearson's correlation analysis was conducted to determine the correlation between each indicator and oneway analysis of variance was employed to determine the significant difference between the treatments. Duncan's multiple range test 5% was performed for post hoc test. The soil quality index was calculated using Equation 1 (Moebius-Clune et al., 2016) and thus classified according to the soil quality classification by Sofo et al. (2022) with modification (Table 1). Scoring of soil chemical properties was performed according to Eviati and Sulaeman (2009) with modification, each indicator classified as low was scored 1, 2 for moderate, and 3 for high. Evaluation of the total bacteria and N-fixing bacteria was performed according to Shen et al. (2016); bacterial population >10<sup>6</sup> colony forming unit (CFU g<sup>-1</sup>) (total bacteria) and >10<sup>3</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> (N-fixing bacteria) considered as high. Soil respiration was scored according to Aryal et al. (2017), as the average of soil respiration in a primary forest in the tropic is >1 g CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>. All statistical analyses were conducted using Minitab 16.

$$SQI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Wi \, x \, Si$$
<sup>[1]</sup>

where: SQI = Soil Quality Index; Si = Score index of the selected indicators; <math>Wi = Weight index of selected indicators; n = Number of Soil Quality Indicators.

# 3. RESULTS

According to the result, as classified based on Eviati and Sulaeman (2009), the soil quality indicators in the study site ranged from very low to moderate (Table 2), such as pH (5.9–6.5, slightly acidic), OC (1.54%–2.09%, very low), Av-P (6.81–7.62 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, low), BS (49.79–53.54%, moderate), a C/N (5.02–5.38, low), TN (0.31%–0.42%, moderate), Available-K (Av-K) (0.51–0.61 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, moderate), and CEC (21.77–27.36 mmol<sup>+</sup> 100 g<sup>-1</sup>, moderate).

# **Table 2.** Soil quality indicators at the study site

Indicator	КО	Н	HD	HDD
Physical				
Texture	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay	Sandy Clay
Chemical				
рН	5.9 ± 0.05 <sup>a</sup>	6.4 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>	$6.4 \pm 0.11^{b}$	$6.5 \pm 0.10^{b}$
OC (%)	$1.54 \pm 0.18^{a}$	1.80 ± 0.23 <sup>a</sup>	1.76 ± 0.13 <sup>ab</sup>	2.09 ± 0.26 <sup>b</sup>
TN (%)	0.31 ± 0.04 <sup>a</sup>	0.34 ± 0.01 <sup>ab</sup>	0.36 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	$0.42 \pm 0.04^{b}$
Av-P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )	6.81 ± 0.27 <sup>a</sup>	$7.16 \pm 0.27^{a}$	7.32 ± 0.44 <sup>a</sup>	7.62 ± 0.39 <sup>a</sup>
Av-K (mg kg⁻¹)	0.51 ± 0.08 <sup>a</sup>	0.53 ± 0.04 <sup>ab</sup>	0.55 ± 0.03 <sup>ab</sup>	0.61 ± 0.05 <sup>b</sup>
CEC (mmol <sup>+</sup> 100 g <sup>-1</sup> )	22.92 ± 1.95 <sup>a</sup>	21.77 ± 1.55 <sup>a</sup>	25.87 ± 1.62 <sup>b</sup>	27.36 ± 1.32 <sup>b</sup>
BS (%)	49.79 ± 2.84 <sup>a</sup>	50.67 ± 7.35 <sup>a</sup>	50.05 ± 4.63 <sup>a</sup>	53.54 ±2.99 <sup>a</sup>
C/N	5.03 ± 0.96 <sup>a</sup>	5.38 ± 0.79 <sup>a</sup>	5.02 ± 0.37 <sup>a</sup>	5.13 ± 0.92 <sup>a</sup>
Biological				
TC NA (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> ) (10 <sup>7</sup> )	3.56 ± 4.97 <sup>a</sup>	4.63 ± 2.67 <sup>a</sup>	2.51 ± 1.43 <sup>a</sup>	7.53 ± 2.22 <sup>a</sup>
TC Jensen (CFU g <sup>-1</sup> ) (10 <sup>6</sup> )	1.23 ± 0.66 <sup>a</sup>	1.17 ± 0.62 <sup>a</sup>	2.91 ± 0.42 <sup>b</sup>	4.42 ± 0.43 <sup>c</sup>
SR (mg CO <sub>2</sub> /m <sup>2</sup> /h)	8.41 ± 1.22 <sup>a</sup>	9.90 ± 0.96 <sup>b</sup>	10.49 ± 0.77 <sup>b</sup>	$11.22 \pm 0.58^{b}$
Demonstration OC - exercise C. Th		abla D. Av. K availabla	K. CEC - antion avalan	na annaitu DC - haaa

**Remarks**: OC = organic C; TN = total N; Av-P = available P; Av-K = available K; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; TC NA = total colony at nutrient agar; TC Jensen = total colony at Jensen agar; SR = soil respiration; C/N = ratio between organic C and total N; CFU = colony forming unit. Conventional method (KO); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer (H); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer (HD); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer + leaf fertilizer (HDD). Means followed by different letter at the same line are significantly different as determined by Duncan Multiple Range Test (DMRT) at 5% significance level.

**Table 3.** Correlation between each indicator of soil quality at the study site

	рН	OC	TN	Av-P	Av-K	CEC	BS	TC NA	TC Jensen	SR
OC	0.569									
TN	0.542	0.588*								
Av-P	0.407	0.718**	0.301							
Av-K	0.029	0.570	0.523	0.470						
CEC	0.197	0.435	0.496	0.606*	0.692*					
BS	0.485	0.495	0.338	0.150	0.160	0.015				
TC NA	-0.048	0.561	0.196	0.393	0.499	0.338	-0.089			
TC Jensen	0.293	0.560	0.544	0.541	0.757**	0.932**	0.239	0.426		
SR	0.810**	0.773**	0.537	0.417	0.362	0.398	0.515	0.293	0.536	
C/N	-0.084	0.313	-0.577	0.352	0.004	-0.104	0.103	0.364	-0.043	0.172

**Remarks**: OC = organic C; TN = total N; Av-P = available P; Av-K = available K; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; TC NA = total colony at nutrient agar; TC Jensen = total colony at Jensen agar; SR = soil respiration; C/N = ratio between organic C and total N; \*5% significance level (p < 0.05); \*\*1% significance level (p < 0.01).

There were no soil quality indicators classified as high to very high. Furthermore, the biological indicators such as soil respiration (SR) were also low (8.41-11.22 mg CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>). However, soil bacteria (total and N–fixing bacteria) were at the optimum level, approximately  $10^{6}-10^{7}$  CFU g<sup>-1</sup>.

This study demonstrated that cultivation methods (K, H, HD, and HDD) affected soil quality indicators (P < 0.05). Moreover, significant differences were observed among the analyzed indicators after Student's *t*-test analysis (P < 0.05). Compared with control, the practice of Hazton's method, combined with organic fertilizer, decomposer, and leaf fertilizer (HDD) in paddy farming improved soil quality indicators by increasing soil pH closer to the neutral pH (6.5), OC (21.93%), TN (7.69%), Av-P (2.80%), Av-K (4.54%), CEC (4.53%), C/N (0.48%), total bacteria (21.77%), TC Jensen (32.78%), and SR (7.02%). The application of Hazton's method without the use of a micro fertilizer for leaf (HD) only improved soil pH, CEC, TC Jensen, and SR. Moreover, the application of Hazton's method combined with organic

fertilizer (H) only improved soil pH and SR. The application of micronutrient in leaf and decomposer (either sole or mixed application) in Hazton's method improved the OC, TN, and Av-K, compared with HD and H, as well as all the analyzed indicators compared with control (KO).

This study finding proved that some soil quality indicators have a linear relationship, in which an increase in one indicator will lead to an increase in another indicator (Table 3). Three indicators have a positive correlation with OC, namely, available P, SR (P < 0.01), and total N (P < 0.05). The increasing organic C mineralization will release plant nutrients, increasing available-P and total N in the soil. CO<sub>2</sub> evolution was a sign of microorganisms' activity in decomposing organic C, thus increasing SR and resulting in the increased volume of CO<sub>2</sub> released by microorganisms. However, SR was correlated not only with organic C but also with pH (P < 0.01). Microorganisms need an optimal environmental condition (neutral pH) to grow and develop in their life cycle.

Table 4	<b>4.</b> Mir	nimum	data set o	f soi	l quality	indicators	at the stu	dy site

Eigenvalue	5.094	1.912	1.735
Proportion	0.463	0.174	0.158
Cumulative	0.463	0.637	0.795
Eigenvectors	PC 1	PC 2	PC 3
рН	0.236	-0.477	0.169
OC	0.394	-0.018	0.251
TN	0.315	-0.285	-0.351
Av-P	0.323	0.187	0.173
Av-K	0.326	0.267	-0.225
CEC	0.334	0.220	-0.318
BS	0.193	-0.393	0.252
TC NA	0.227	0.425	0.125
TC Jensen	0.374	0.154	-0.232
SR	0.353	-0.252	0.218
C/N	0.036	0.337	0.651

Remarks: OC = organic C; TN = total N; Av-P = available P; Av-K = available K; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; TC NA = total colony at nutrient agar; TC Jensen = total colony at Jensen agar; SR = soil respiration; C/N = ratio between organic C and total N. Number in bold indicates the weight of soil quality indicators to determine minimum data set (MDS) for calculating soil quality index.

N-fixing bacteria were correlated with soil available P and CEC as essential nutrient sources for their life cycle (P < 0.01). Moreover, CEC was correlated with available P and K (P < 0.05) because CEC is the indicator of soil fertility in providing nutrients for plants.

Finally, the result of PCA analysis proved that PC1 to PC3 (the components from PCA) represented 79.5% of the data variance (Table 4). MDS should have consisted of C/N, pH, and OC. However, based on the scores of the indicators, several indicators had different scores (Table 5). As presented in Figure 2, SR and TN have high scores similar to OC in the first component. Additionally, total bacteria have a high score in the second component. These indicators play a vital role in enhancing the soil function, such as soil available K, CEC, and microbial activity (microbial density, N-fixing bacteria, and SR). Thus, we included all selected indicators and only eliminated soil texture because the soil in the study site is sandy clay (Inceptisols). Based on the MDS and soil quality index calculation (Table 6), the soil quality site was clasified as very low (KO, H, and HD) and low (HDD), with values of 0.303 and 0.444, respectively.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

This study demonstrated that the soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming in Banyumas Regency was low to very low according to the primary soil quality indicators. The soil quality at the study site was evaluated predominantly based on organic C, pH, and C/N. Organic C and C/N have a close relationship as the C/N stoichiometry regulating the soil organic C mineralization in paddy soil (Wei et al., 2020). Furthermore, an addition of organic fertilizer, decomposer, and leaf fertilizer in this study was not sufficient to boost soil quality. Plant residue such as harvested rice straws in Hazton's paddy farming is often not returned to the soil, which leads to a low substrate concentration for decomposition, as proven by the low SR rate. SR is a sign for microbes that actively decompose organic matter (Rui et al., 2016). A high amount of rice straw returned to the paddy soil would foster the fungal community, whereas a low amount of rice straw negatively bacterial community (Wang et al., 2021). This proves that insufficient organic material in the paddy field when practicing the Hazton's paddy farming leads to low soil quality, even though the bacterial density is high. Thus, returning harvested rice straw to the paddy soil is essential as a source of organic material. However, the impact on greenhouse gas emission needs to be studied further.

Table 5. Scoring	of soil quality	/ indicators a	t the study site
------------------	-----------------	----------------	------------------

Minimum Data Set	КО	Н	HD	HDD
рН	2	2	2	2
OC	1	1	1	1
TN	2	2	2	2
Av-P	1	1	1	1
Av-K	2	2	2	3
CEC	2	2	3	3
BS	2	2	2	2
TC NA	2	2	1	3
TC Jensen	1	1	2	3
SR	1	1	2	2
C/N	1	1	1	1

**Remarks** : OC = organic C; TN = total N; Av-P = available P; Av-K = available K; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; TC NA = total colony at nutrient agar; TC Jensen = total colony at Jensen agar; SR = soil respiration; C/N = ratio between organic C and total N. Conventional method (KO); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer (H); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer (HD); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer + leaf fertilizer (HDD). Scoring of soil quality indicators was based on Eviati and Sulaeman (2009) with modification for chemical properties; total bacteria and N-fixing bacteria were according to Shen et al. (2016); soil respiration was scored according to Aryal et al. (2017).





Minimum Data Set	КО	Н	HD	HDD
рН	-0.954	-0.954	-0.954	-0.954
OC	0.394	0.394	0.394	0.394
TN	-0.702	-0.702	-0.702	-0.702
Av-P	0.323	0.323	0.323	0.323
Av-K	0.652	0.652	0.652	0.978
CEC	-0.786	-0.786	-1.179	-1.179
BS	0.850	0.850	0.850	0.850
TC NA	0.850	0.850	0.425	1.275
TC Jensen	0.374	0.374	0.748	1.122
SR	0.353	0.353	0.706	0.706
C/N	0.651	0.651	0.651	0.651
Average of SQI	0.182	0.182	0.174	0.314
Classification of SQI	Very Low	Very Low	Very Low	Low

Table 6. Soil quality index at the study site

Remarks: OC = organic C; TN = total N; Av-P = available P; Av-K = available K; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; TC NA = total colony at nutrient agar; TC Jensen = total colony at Jensen agar; SR = soil respiration; C/N = ratio between organic C and total N; SQI = soil quality index. Conventional method (KO); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer (H); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer (HD); Hazton's method + organic fertilizer + decomposer + leaf fertilizer (HDD).

Another indicator that determined the soil quality status at the study site was the soil pH, which is a strong soil quality indicator owing to its considerable influence on soil biogeochemical processes that affect plant growth and biomass production (Neina, 2019). The pH use of the study site soil using the Hazton'n paddy farming method was higher than the conventional method used, even though the pH was within the neutral range. The use of organic fertilizer and decomposer combined with Hazton's method can increase paddy soil pH by preventing soil acidification. Concurrent application of synthetic fertilizer, such as NPK, decreases soil pH by 0.07 per year after application for 20 years (Wang et al., 2019). Further study related to organic farming using Hazton's method (excludes synthetic fertilizer) on the pH of paddy soil might be needed to elucidate the impact of organic fertilizer and decomposers on soil acidification prevention.

This study highlighted that farming methods greatly affect the soil quality status which is manifest through various indicators. A previous study reported that soil quality at different paddy fields in Merauke, Papua, was strongly determined by pH, OC, bulk density, particulate organic matter, and available N (Supriyadi et al., 2017). Another study has reported that soil quality under agroforestry management is determined by available P and K, BS, and pH (Suprivadi et al., 2016). This indicates that the soil quality indicators vary, and subsequently the soil quality varies within agricultural management (Tang et al., 2019). The addition of organic fertilizer and decomposer to the paddy field, along with Hazton's method, strongly affects soil quality that is apparent from the C/N content, as C/N substantially influences the organic C composition and organic matter decomposition (Xia et al., 2021; Yates et al., 2019). Moreover, organic matter input increases bacterial density and enzymatic activity as organic C is a substrate (nutrient source) that enhances microbial activity (Zhang et al., 2019). The addition of organic fertilizer and decomposer increased the density of total and N-fixing bacteria at the study site, which was higher (10<sup>7</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup> and 10<sup>3</sup> CFU g<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) than in a previous study by Shen et al. (2016), which was only 10<sup>6</sup> CFU  $g^{-1}$  for total soil bacteria and  $10^3$  CFU  $g^{-1}$  for N-fixing bacteria. However, the density of bacteria was affected not only by the addition of organic matter but also by other factors such as season (dry or rainy season). Microbial density during the rainy season is higher than during the dry season (Ustiatik et al., 2022).

Moreover, we conclude that the low to very low soil quality status in the study site was primarily due to the lack of organic material and suggest returning the harvested rice straw to the soil as a natural source. The material will provide essential plant nutrients as it decomposes. Incorporating moderate rice straws incorporation with low N fertilizer has been reported to enhance soil microbial activity, mitigate greenhouse gas emissions, and improve forage yield (Zhang et al., 2019). Constant practice of Hazton's method for paddy farming at the study site without returning harvested rice straw to the soil will deteriorate the soil quality as the plant nutrient sources that are intensively used to boost and produce plant biomass are not replenished. However, further study of the long-term application of Hazton's method (10-20 years) will provide better clarity on the effect of Hazton's method on soil quality. The application of organic fertilizers and decomposers, as discussed in this study, is insufficient to restore the nutrient pool in the soil that is equal to the harvested plant biomass. The application of Hazton's method without returning harvested rice straw to the soil will lead to soil degradation, more extreme will treat land sustainability and food safety. A limitation of this study is that the yield parameter was not analyzed and included as an integral component of soil quality indicators. Future studies should focus not only on soil properties (physical, chemical, and biological properties) as soil quality indicators but also on yield and biomass production, as soil quality changes according to land management. By assessing soil quality under intensive paddy farming, managers (farmers) can gain a better perspective on how to manage the field and take measures to prevent further soil quality deterioration and degradation.

#### **5. CONCLUSION**

The soil quality status under Hazton's paddy farming method was low (0.444) to very low (0.308) as assessed using selected indicators and MDS. The primary soil quality indicators were soil pH, organic C, and C/N. The soil quality at

the study site was low to very low, even after the addition of organic fertilizer, decomposer, and leaf fertilizer. Returning harvested rice straw to the soil may be required as an effort to increase the organic material input. The managers of such paddy farms must take these factors into consideration and take measures accordingly, intensive paddy farming deteriorates soil quality. Furthermore, improper management and overuse of paddy fields will lead to soil degradation and further impact food security.

# **Declaration of Competing Interest**

The authors declare no competing financial or personal interests that may appear and influence the work reported in this paper.

#### References

Ali, M. A., Inubushi, K., Kim, P. J., & Amin, S. (2019). Management of Paddy Soil towards Low Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sustainable Rice Production in the Changing Climatic Conditions. In D. Vázquez-Luna & M. d. C. Cuevas-Díaz (Eds.), Soil Contamination and Alternatives for Sustainable Development. IntechOpen.

https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.83548

- Anup, K. C., & Ghimire, A. (2019). Soil Quality Status in Different Region of Nepal. In D. G. Panpatte & Y. K. Jhala (Eds.), Soil Fertility Management for Sustainable Development (pp. 81-99). Springer Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-5904-0\_6
- Aryal, D. R., De Jong, B. H. J., Mendoza-Vega, J., Ochoa-Gaona,
  S., & Esparza-Olguín, L. (2017). Soil Organic Carbon Stocks and Soil Respiration in Tropical Secondary Forests in Southern Mexico. In D. J. Field, C. L. S. Morgan, & A. B. McBratney (Eds.), *Global Soil Security* (pp. 153-165). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43394-3\_14
- Bhattacharyya, P., Bisen, J., Bhaduri, D., Priyadarsini, S., Munda, S., Chakraborti, M., Adak, T., Panneerselvam, P., Mukherjee, A. K., Swain, S. L., Dash, P. K., Padhy, S. R., Nayak, A. K., Pathak, H., Kumar, S., & Nimbrayan, P. (2021). Turn the wheel from waste to wealth: Economic and environmental gain of sustainable rice straw management practices over field burning in reference to India. *Science of The Total Environment*, 775, 145896.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.145896

Bünemann, E. K., Bongiorno, G., Bai, Z., Creamer, R. E., De Deyn, G., de Goede, R., Fleskens, L., Geissen, V., Kuyper, T. W., Mäder, P., Pulleman, M., Sukkel, W., van Groenigen, J. W., & Brussaard, L. (2018). Soil quality – A critical review. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, *120*, 105-125.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2018.01.030

Delgado, J. A., Barrera Mosquera, V. H., Alwang, J. R., Villacis-Aveiga, A., Cartagena Ayala, Y. E., Neer, D., Monar, C., & Escudero López, L. O. (2021). Chapter Five - Potential use of cover crops for soil and water conservation, nutrient management, and climate change adaptation across the tropics. In D. L. Sparks (Ed.), Advances in *Agronomy* (Vol. 165, pp. 175-247). Academic Press. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.agron.2020.09.003

- Domínguez-Haydar, Y., Velásquez, E., Carmona, J., Lavelle, P., Chavez, L. F., & Jiménez, J. J. (2019). Evaluation of reclamation success in an open-pit coal mine using integrated soil physical, chemical and biological quality indicators. *Ecological Indicators*, 103, 182-193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2019.04.015
- Elahi, E., Weijun, C., Zhang, H., & Nazeer, M. (2019). Agricultural intensification and damages to human health in relation to agrochemicals: Application of artificial intelligence. *Land Use Policy*, *83*, 461-474. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.02.023
- Eviati, & Sulaeman. (2009). Analisis Kimia Tanah, Air, Pupuk, dan Tanaman (B. H. Prasetyo, D. Santoso, & L. R. Widowati, Eds.). Indonesia Soil Research Institute (ISRI).

https://balittanah.litbang.pertanian.go.id/ind/dokum entasi/juknis/juknis\_kimia2.pdf

- Gomiero, T. (2016). Soil Degradation, Land Scarcity and Food Security: Reviewing a Complex Challenge. Sustainability, 8(3), 281. https://doi.org/10.3390/su8030281
- Huang, W., Wu, J.-f., Pan, X.-h., Tan, X.-m., Zeng, Y.-j., Shi, Q.h., Liu, T.-j., & Zeng, Y.-h. (2021). Effects of long-term straw return on soil organic carbon fractions and enzyme activities in a double-cropped rice paddy in South China. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture, 20*(1), 236-247. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63347-0
- Juhos, K., Czigány, S., Madarász, B., & Ladányi, M. (2019). Interpretation of soil quality indicators for land suitability assessment – A multivariate approach for Central European arable soils. *Ecological Indicators*, 99, 261-272.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.11.063

Kementan. (2016). *Petunjuk Teknis Budidaya Padi Teknologi Hazton Tahun 2016*. Ministry of Agriculture Republic of Indonesia https://tanamanpangan.pertanian.go.id/assets/front/

uploads/document/PETUNJUK%20TEKNIS%20PADI%2 0TEKNOLOGI%20HAZTON-2016.pdf

Lakitan, B., Hadi, B., Herlinda, S., Siaga, E., Widuri, L. I., Kartika, K., Lindiana, L., Yunindyawati, Y., & Meihana, M. (2018). Recognizing farmers' practices and constraints for intensifying rice production at Riparian Wetlands in Indonesia. *NJAS: Wageningen Journal of Life Sciences*, 85(1), 10-20.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.njas.2018.05.004

- Li, P., Shi, K., Wang, Y., Kong, D., Liu, T., Jiao, J., Liu, M., Li, H., & Hu, F. (2019). Soil quality assessment of wheatmaize cropping system with different productivities in China: Establishing a minimum data set. Soil and Tillage Research, 190, 31-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.02.019
- Liliane, T. N., & Charles, M. S. (2020). Factors Affecting Yield of Crops. In Amanullah (Ed.), *Agronomy - Climate Change & Food Security*. IntechOpen. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.90672

- Livsey, J., Kätterer, T., Vico, G., Lyon, S. W., Lindborg, R., Scaini, A., Da, C. T., & Manzoni, S. (2019). Do alternative irrigation strategies for rice cultivation decrease water footprints at the cost of long-term soil health? *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(7), 074011. https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab2108
- M. Tahat, M., M. Alananbeh, K., A. Othman, Y., & I. Leskovar, D. (2020). Soil Health and Sustainable Agriculture. *Sustainability*, 12(12), 4859. https://doi.org/10.3390/su12124859
- Martunis, L., Sufardi, S., & Muyassir, M. (2016). Analisis indeks kualitas tanah di lahan kering Kabupaten Aceh Besar Provinsi Aceh. *Jurnal Budidaya Pertanian*, *12*(1), 34-40. https://ojs3.unpatti.ac.id/index.php/bdp/article/view /45
- Mei, N., Yang, B., Tian, P., Jiang, Y., Sui, P., Sun, D., Zhang, Z., & Qi, H. (2019). Using a modified soil quality index to evaluate densely tilled soils with different yields in Northeast China. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(14), 13867-13877. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3946-2
- Merang, O. P., Lahjie, A. M., Yusuf, S., & Ruslim, Y. (2020). Productivity of three varieties of local upland rice on swidden agriculture field in Setulang village, North Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Biodiversitas Journal of Biological Diversity*, 21(1). https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d210108
- Moebius-Clune, B. N., Moebius-Clune, D. J., Gugino, B. K., Idowu, O. J., Schindelbeck, R. R., Ristow, A. J., van Es, H. M., Thies, J. E., H.A. Shayler, McBride, M. B., Kurtz, K. S. M., Wolfe, D. W., & Abawi, G. S. (2016). *Comprehensive Assessment of Soil Health – The Cornell Framework Manual, Edition 3.1.* Cornell University, Geneva, NY. http://www.css.cornell.edu/extension/soil-

health/manual.pdf

- Mugizi, F. M. P., & Matsumoto, T. (2020). Population pressure and soil quality in Sub-Saharan Africa: Panel evidence from Kenya. *Land Use Policy*, *94*, 104499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104499
- Nabiollahi, K., Golmohamadi, F., Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi, R., Kerry, R., & Davari, M. (2018). Assessing the effects of slope gradient and land use change on soil quality degradation through digital mapping of soil quality indices and soil loss rate. *Geoderma*, *318*, 16-28. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoderma.2017.12.024
- Naresh, R. K., Panwar, A. S., Dhaliwal, S. S., Gupta, R. K., Kumar, A., Rathore, R. S., Kumar, A., Kumar, D., Lal, M., Kumar, S., Tyagi, S., Kumar, V., Singh, S. P., Singh, V., & Mahajan, N. C. (2017). Effect of Organic Inputs on Strength and Stability of Soil Aggregates Associated Organic Carbon Concentration under Rice-Wheat Rotation in Indo-Gangetic Plain Zone of India. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(10), 1973-2008. https://doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.610.237
- Neina, D. (2019). The Role of Soil pH in Plant Nutrition and Soil Remediation. *Applied and Environmental Soil Science*,

2019, https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5794869 5794869.

- Qi, J.-Y., Han, S.-W., Lin, B.-J., Xiao, X.-P., Jensen, J. L., Munkholm, L. J., & Zhang, H.-L. (2022). Improved soil structural stability under no-tillage is related to increased soil carbon in rice paddies: Evidence from literature review and field experiment. *Environmental Technology* & *Innovation*, 26, 102248. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eti.2021.102248
- Robbani, H., Dewi, W. S., Prasojo, H., & Darsowiyono, S. (2018). Impacts of various fertilizer combinations onto some agronomical traits of rice (Oryza sativa L.) grown employing hazton methods. *Journal of Cereals and Oilseeds*, 9(4), 29-36. https://doi.org/10.5897/JCO2018.0185
- Rui, Y., Murphy, D. V., Wang, X., & Hoyle, F. C. (2016). Microbial respiration, but not biomass, responded linearly to increasing light fraction organic matter input: Consequences for carbon sequestration. *Scientific Reports*, 6(1), 35496. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35496
- Shen, H., He, X., Liu, Y., Chen, Y., Tang, J., & Guo, T. (2016). A Complex Inoculant of N2-Fixing, P- and K-Solubilizing Bacteria from a Purple Soil Improves the Growth of Kiwifruit (Actinidia chinensis) Plantlets. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.00841
- Smith, G. R., & Archer, R. (2020). Climate, population, food security: adapting and evolving in times of global change. International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology, 27(5), 419-423. https://doi.org/10.1080/13504509.2020.1712558
- Sofo, A., Zanella, A., & Ponge, J.-F. (2022). Soil quality and fertility in sustainable agriculture, with a contribution to the biological classification of agricultural soils. *Soil Use and Management*, *38*(2), 1085-1112. https://doi.org/10.1111/sum.12702
- Supriyadi, Hartati, S., Machfiroh, N., & Ustiatik, R. (2016). Soil Quality Index in The Upstream of Bengawan Solo River Basin According to The Soil Function in Nutrient Cycling Based on Soybean Production in Agroforestry. *AGRIVITA, Journal of Agricultural Science, 38*(1), 9. https://doi.org/10.17503/agrivita.v38i1.496
- Supriyadi, Purwanto, Sarijan, A., Mekiuw, Y., Ustiatik, R., & Prahesti, R. R. (2017). The assessment of soil quality at paddy fields in Merauke, Indonesia. *Bulgarian Journal of Agricultural Science*, *23*(3), 443-448. https://www.agrojournal.org/23/03-13.pdf
- Tang, L., Hayashi, K., Ohigashi, K., Shimura, M., & Kohyama, K. (2019). Developing characterization factors to quantify management impacts on soil quality of paddy fields within life cycle assessment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 238, 117890. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.117890
- Truong, A. H., Ha-Duong, M., & Tran, H. A. (2022). Economics of co-firing rice straw in coal power plants in Vietnam.

Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, 154, 111742. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2021.111742

Ustiatik, R., Nuraini, Y., Suharjono, S., Jeyakumar, P., Anderson, C. W. N., & Handayanto, E. (2022). Mercury resistance and plant growth promoting traits of endophytic bacteria isolated from mercurycontaminated soil. *Bioremediation Journal*, *26*(3), 208-227.

https://doi.org/10.1080/10889868.2021.1973950

- Wang, D., Bai, J., Wang, W., Zhang, G., Cui, B., Liu, X., & Li, X. (2018). Comprehensive assessment of soil quality for different wetlands in a Chinese delta. Land Degradation & Development, 29(10), 3783-3794. https://doi.org/10.1002/ldr.3086
- Wang, E., Lin, X., Tian, L., Wang, X., Ji, L., Jin, F., & Tian, C. (2021). Effects of Short-Term Rice Straw Return on the Soil Microbial Community. *Agriculture*, 11(6), 561. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11060561
- Wang, H., Xu, J., Liu, X., Zhang, D., Li, L., Li, W., & Sheng, L. (2019). Effects of long-term application of organic fertilizer on improving organic matter content and retarding acidity in red soil from China. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 195, 104382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.still.2019.104382
- Wei, X., Zhu, Z., Liu, Y., Luo, Y., Deng, Y., Xu, X., Liu, S., Richter, A., Shibistova, O., Guggenberger, G., Wu, J., & Ge, T. (2020). C:N:P stoichiometry regulates soil organic carbon mineralization and concomitant shifts in microbial community composition in paddy soil. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 56(8), 1093-1107. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-020-01468-7
- Xia, S., Song, Z., Li, Q., Guo, L., Yu, C., Singh, B. P., Fu, X., Chen, C., Wang, Y., & Wang, H. (2021). Distribution, sources, and decomposition of soil organic matter along a salinity gradient in estuarine wetlands characterized by C:N ratio, δ13C-δ15N, and lignin biomarker. *Global Change Biology*, *27*(2), 417-434. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15403
- Xie, H., Zhang, Y., Wu, Z., & Lv, T. (2020). A Bibliometric Analysis on Land Degradation: Current Status, Development, and Future Directions. Land, 9(28), 1-37. https://doi.org/10.3390/land9010028
- Yates, C. A., Johnes, P. J., Owen, A. T., Brailsford, F. L., Glanville, H. C., Evans, C. D., Marshall, M. R., Jones, D. L., Lloyd, C. E. M., Jickells, T., & Evershed, R. P. (2019). Variation in dissolved organic matter (DOM) stoichiometry in U.K. freshwaters: Assessing the influence of land cover and soil C:N ratio on DOM composition. *Limnology and Oceanography*, *64*(6), 2328-2340. https://doi.org/10.1002/lno.11186
- Zhang, F., Che, Y., & Xiao, Y. (2019). Effects of rice straw incorporation and N fertilizer on ryegrass yield, soil quality, and greenhouse gas emissions from paddy soil. *Journal of Soils and Sediments*, *19*(3), 1053-1063. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11368-018-2105-1