PHYSIOLOGY AND BIOCHEMISTRY OF FE EXCESS IN ACIDIC ASIAN SOILS ON CROP PLANTS
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ABSTRACT

Proper transport of iron is very crucial for plant growth and development as it participates in various complex processes in plants like absorption, translocation, etc. It also acts as an important component for processes like photosynthesis and respiratory electron transport chain in mitochondria, chloroplast development, and chlorophyll biosynthesis. Asian soils suffer from iron toxic condition and that adversely affects the growth and yield of the plant. This review describes the importance of iron in plant growth and different strategies adopted by plants for iron uptake. It also focuses on different methods and approaches on how plant can cope against acidic soils.
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INTRODUCTION

Iron is one of the most abundant element found in the earth’s crust and due to its low solubility in aerobic environment, it is considered as the third most limiting nutrient for plant growth (Grotz & Guerinot, 2006). Iron uptake in plants is an important physiological process governed by various complex homeostatic mechanisms in the plant, including absorption, translocation, and distribution of the iron within the entire plant system so that all the iron-dependent biological processes can actively participate in the plant growth and development (Römheld & Schaaf, 2004). In higher plants, iron act as a key component for the biological processes like photosynthesis and respiratory electron transport chain in mitochondria as well as iron is associated with sulfate assimilation, hormone synthesis and in DNA synthesis and repair. However, its excess and deficiency can have harmful effects on plants. Excess free iron which is found in the low pH and high oxygen tension can affect the cell because of its high affinity to bind with oxygen leading to the generation of cytotoxic hydroxyl radicals via Fenton reaction (Connolly & Guerinot, 2002; Becker & Asch, 2005; Grotz & Guerinot, 2006). While in alkaline and aerobic soils, low iron concentration is frequently found which leads to the Fe-deficiency symptoms in plants like chlorosis and necrosis leading to the damage to plant biomass and yield (Eroglu et al., 2016). Iron exists in two oxidation states Fe3+ and Fe2+ and their rate of absorption and toxicity differs significantly, Fe3+ is typically the highly toxic form of iron absorbed by the plants (Brumbarova et al., 2015; Li et al., 2015).
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Large number of soil types can be responsible in generating iron toxicity for the crops, like, acid sulfate soils, acid clay soil and peat soil (Becker & Asch, 2005). Asia and Africa faces the problem of Fe toxicity in majority during their crop cultivation especially rice. This is due to the nutrient disorder on acid sulfate soils. It was reported previously that Fe toxicity prevailed mostly in young acid sulfate soil (Sahrawat, 2005; van Breemen, 1988). Large areas of tropical and sub-tropical regions of Asia are distributed with acid soils. Large quantity of Fe (II) rise in the soil content due to the unusual mineralization (Borah & Bharali, 2015). Due to the accumulation of Fe (II) from 500 to 5000 mg kg\(^{-1}\) soil brings 50 – 100 % of yield loss (Sahrawat, 2005).

Morphological changes

The plant can respond to sub-optimal conditions by changing the root growth architecture such as altering the number, length, diameter of the root, and root hairs as root are the primary sensing site to come in contact with the stress condition, a process is known as stressed induced morphogenic response. Iron toxicity arrests the primary root growth by lowering the cell division and elongation which in turn inhibit the growth of the lateral root in newly grown roots resulting in the direct contact with excess Fe (Li et al., 2012; Li et al., 2015). Thus, this stress-induced morphogenic response of Root System Architecture (RSA) can help to restrict the absorption of excess iron in lower strata, still allowing the absorption of another essential nutrient in the other part of the root system and can prevent the plant from being in contact with more serious Fe toxicity. However, Fe-excess has no such adverse effects in lateral root formation in the proximal roots which helps in the absorption of another essential nutrient despite being in contact with stress condition allowing the controlled acclimatization to nutritional stress (Heil & Baldwin, 2002). In addition, the root gravitropism helps the root orientation whenever the root tip comes in the contact of excess iron and changes its direction away from the stress stimulus (Li et al., 2015; Wisniewska et al., 2006). Fe excess increases the abundance of ferritin protein which stores numerous Fe atoms in their central cavity. The major role of ferritin in specific areas like flowers and leaves is to protect against oxidative stress. However, the complete information is not available about the synthesis and function of ferritin in roots (Sudre et al., 2013). One of the major phenomena during the iron toxicity is the occurrence of dark brown spot (bronzing) which starts from the tip of the leaf and gradually expands towards the other part of the leaf as shown in Figure 3 (a-e) (Peng & Yamauchi, 1993). Bronzing is also considered as a nutritional disorder which is extensively distributed in lowland areas (Tanaka & Yoshida, 1970).

In contrast, to cope up with the Fe-deficient condition, the plant can enlarge the root surface area. The iron content scarcity can trigger the formation of ectopic root hairs at the position normally occupied by the non-hair cells, this phenomenon helps in Fe uptake by increasing its absorptive surface area of the root (Schmidt et al., 2000). The length of primary roots and the number of the lateral roots increases under moderate low Fe condition while drastically decreases in the severe cases of low iron content (Gruber et al., 2013).

In legumes, there is a greater demand for iron needed for the symbiotic association with Nitrogen-fixing bacteria and for the synthesis of iron-containing protein like leghaemoglobin in the host. Iron plays an essential role in the synthesis of nitrogenase.
and cytochrome of the electron transport chain in bacteroids. Nodule formation takes place in case of iron deficient condition (Brear et al., 2013).

**Iron uptake strategies in plants**

Plant has developed two strategies for iron uptake *i.e.*, strategy I (reduction) and strategy II (chelation) based mechanism for the uptake of iron under Fe-deficient condition. All non-graminaceous plants utilize strategy I to uptake iron. Upon iron deficiency, rhizosphere is acidified with the proton extrusion performed by P-type H⁺-ATPase, HA, followed by the reduction of Fe³⁺ to Fe²⁺ by the membrane-bound ferric reductase oxidase (FRO2) which is induced by iron deficiency in the root epidermis (Schmidt, 2006; Santi & Schmidt, 2009; Connolly et al., 2003). Once ferric is reduced to ferrous, it is transported into the root by iron-regulated transporter 1 (IRT1), a member of the zinc-regulated transporter (ZRT), IRT like protein ZIP family. FIT (FER-Like Iron Deficiency Induced Transcription Factor regulates the expression of AHA2, FRO2, and IRT1. FRO genes are expressed variably at the tissue level, FRO2 is expressed in roots and however, FRO6 and FRO7 are specifically expressed in shoots (Jeong & Guerinot, 2009; Mukherjee et al., 2006). FRO proteins are localized into different cellular compartments and are involved in different cellular functions signifying that the reduction-based iron transfer is not only limited to the root plasma membrane (Mukherjee et al., 2006; Jeong et al., 2008; Heazlewood et al., 2004). Hormones like ethylene, auxin, jasmonic acid (JA), cytokinin (CK), brassinosteroids (BR), abscisic acid (ABA) and nitric oxide (NO) plays a significant role in the Fe-deficient signaling (Brumbarova et al., 2015; Jeong & Guerinot, 2009).

![Figure 1. Distribution of Iron reduction in soil](image-url)
Figure 2. Schematic diagram showing the iron uptake strategies in plants

Strategy II dependent on the biosynthesis of mugineic acid. Till date, nine types of MAs have been identified which are synthesized through S-adenosyl-L-methionine pathway (Bashir et al., 2006; Shojima et al., 1990). Phytosiderophores 1 (PS) like TOM1 (transporter of mugineic acid family phytosiderophore) transport the mugineic acid to the root rhizospheres. Specific plasmalemma transporter proteins YS1 and YSL1 (Yellow stripe 1 and yellow stripe 1 like) then transport the Fe\textsuperscript{3+}-PS complexes into the root cell (Römheld & Marschne, 1986; Nozoye et al., 2011; Kobayashi & Nishizawa, 2012). The S-adenosyl-L-methionine pathway includes three sequential steps of enzymatic reactions mediated by NAS-nicotianamine synthase, NAAT-nicotianamine aminotransferase and DMAS-deoxymugineic acid synthase which generates 2-deoxymugineic acid (DMA), the precursor of all other MAs. NAS and NAAT enzymes are localized on the membrane and inside of the vesicles respectively, suggesting that these vesicles are the site for MA biosynthesis (Takahashi et al., 1999; Higuchi et al., 1999).

Rice is considered as one of the exceptional cases, despite being a strategy II plant, it also possesses OsIRT1 and OsIRT2 that allows absorbing Fe\textsuperscript{2+} in addition to its strategy II mechanism based on the absorption of Fe\textsuperscript{3+}-DMA complex by the OsYSL15 transporter (Bughio et al., 2002; Lee et al., 2009; Inoue et al., 2009; Ishimaru et al., 2006; Kar & Panda, 2018). Although rice has a low content of ferric chelate reductase activity on its root surface, which suggests that it can directly take up Fe\textsuperscript{2+} which is found in abundant quantity in the submerged and anaerobic condition (Ishimaru et al., 2006). Recent studies have shown that soil microbial community which are influenced by root exudates can have impact on Fe acquisition (Jin et al., 2010). Under excessive Fe concentration, engages apoplastic and symplastic mechanism under Fe supply. However, the interception mechanism is not fully understood but about 90% of Fe is intercepted by root apoplast which is due to the alkalization of the apoplastic pH (Kosegarten et al., 2004). The availability of Fe\textsuperscript{2+} is reduced in the apoplast, thus affecting both mobilities as well as the chemical stability of the ion and this alkalizationin turn can be affected by ethylene (Staal et al., 2011).
According to the earlier report, various hormones like ethylene, auxin and Nitric oxide (NO) plays a vital role in the cell wall adjustment in response to stress (Xiong et al., 2009; Zhu et al., 2013). Fe$^{2+}$ can also be excluded from the root-cell membrane. Plants can seize Fe$^{2+}$ in the root cell vacuoles and in multimeric protein ferritin. In Arabidopsis, the regulation of ferritin gene is expressed at the transcriptional level and involves ABA, Reactive Oxygen species (ROS) and ethylene-mediated regulatory pathways (Li et al., 2015; Briat et al., 2010). However, the signaling pathway leading to the induction of ferritin gene is neither dependent on ABA nor on oxidative stress in African rice (Majerus et al., 2009).

**Iron translocation in plants**

Due to the high reactivity and low solubility of Fe in nature, its translocation inside the plant must be highly regulated with proper redox states of Fe$^{3+}$ and Fe$^{2+}$ forms and suitable chelating molecules (Hell & Stephan, 2003). Various steps are involved in Fe translocation in plants, (a) symplastic transport through casparian stripes from the root tissues (b) xylem and phloem loading, transport and unloading, (c) xylem-phloem transfer, (d) symplastic movement towards the region of need and finally translocating from the source (Kim & Guerinot, 2007). Localization of iron acquisition inside the plant tissue can be visualized with Perl’s blue staining methods as shown in Figure 3 (f-g) (Roschztardtz et al., 2013). Physiological and molecular studies have indicated few of the important chelators inside the plant body like MAs, nicotianamine, citrate (Aoyama et al., 2009; Takahashi et al., 2003; Brown & Chaney, 1971).

FERRIC REDUCTASE DEFECTIVE 3 (FRD3) MATE efflux family protein; responsible for loading citrate into the xylem tissues. During plant growth and development iron also mediates the citrate release in the apoplastic region allowing the iron nutrition to be distributed in the tissues which are not connected through symplast (Durrett, Gassmann, & Rogers, 2007; Rogers & Guerinot, 2002). The mutation of FRD3 transporter results in the Fe localization to the central vascular cylinder of the roots and fails to transport to the aerial parts thus suggesting citrate plays a significant role in xylem Fe transport. OsFRDL1, an FRD3 like gene in Rice similar to Arabidopsis, which is specifically expressed in root pericycle cells encodes a citrate efflux required for efficient Fe translocation (Green & Rogers, 2004; Yokosho et al., 2008).

PEZ1, another novel efflux named after its mutant phenotype, which has lower amounts of protocatechuic and caffeic acid in the xylem sap, are responsible for xylem loading of these phenolic compounds facilitating remobilization of the precipitated apoplastic Fe inside the plant (Ishimaru et al., 2011). YSL family members are the influx transporter which is widely involved in Fe translocation. Maize YS1, the founding member of YSL family facilitates Fe(III)-DMA uptake from the rhizosphere and is induced both in roots and shoots in response to iron deficiency (Curie et al., 2001). YSL family members are also present in non-graminaceous plants but they do not synthesize MA, moreover, they are thought to be involved in translocation of metals chelated with nicotianamine (NA) (Curie et al., 2009). NA is the precursor and structural analog of MAs which chelates various metals and also synthesized by almost all plants. Rice, a strategy II plant comprises 18 YSL members (OsYSL1-18). Out of 18, OsYSL2 transports Fe(II)-NA and Mn(II)-NA instead of Fe(III)-MAs and is responsible for long-distance transport to sink tissues including leaves and grains (Ishimaru et al., 2010; Koike et al., 2004).
OsYSL15 transports Fe(III)-DMA is responsible for the root absorption of Fe as well as Fe translocation for long-distance transport and seedling growth (Lee et al., 2009; Inoue et al., 2009). OsYSL18 is expressed in the reproductive parts of plants and play a role in fertilization and phloem Fe transport (Tsukamoto et al., 2009). In rice, TOM1, DMA efflux, and ferrous transporter OsIRT1 are expressed in vascular tissue which is not only involved in Fe uptake but also in Fe translocation (Nozoye et al., 2011).

**Fe-Acquisition at the Transcriptional Level**

FIT (FER LIKE IRON DEFICIENCY INDUCED TRANSCRIPTION FACTOR) which is homologous to the FER of *Solanum lycopersicum* is basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) protein is the central transcriptional regulators of iron uptake (Bauer et al., 2004; Yuan et al., 2005). In iron deficient condition, expression of AHA2, FRO2, IRT1 is regulated by the FIT. FIT can form heterodimers with bHLH038, bHLH039, bHLH100, bHLH101, and collaborates to upregulate Fe-uptake genes (Yuan et al., 2008). FIT activity is post-translationally regulated (Jakoby et al., 2004). Apart from the FIT factor, another bHLH transcriptional regulatory network POPEYE (PYE) is also involved in iron homeostasis. It has a role in the redistribution of the iron already imported. Experimentally, POPEYE is found to be involved in iron homeostasis by regulating genes such as FER4, FER3, NAS4, OPT3, NRAMP4, FRD3 and FRO3. Another gene firmly co-regulated with PYE is BRUTUS (BTS). Both PYE and BTS play an important role in response to iron deficient and interacts with ILR3 which is involved in iron sensing (Long et al., 2010). MYB10 and MYB72, member proteins of myeloblastosis (MYB) family of transcription factor, play a certain role in the Fe redistribution by regulating NICOTIANAMINE SYNTHASE 4 suggesting that MYB10 and MYB72 are the members of PYE regulatory networks (Palmer et al., 2013; Sivitz et al., 2012). However, the expression of MYB72 is regulated by FIT signifying transcriptional influence on Fe uptake and Fe redistribution which are highly interconnected. By regulating the expression of β-glucosidase-
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**Figure 3.** Representing occurrence of brown spot on leaf and uptake of iron using Perls staining method under iron toxic condition after 72 hours of stress. (a) Blackgram leaves in control condition, (b) Blackgram leaves in iron excess condition, (c) Rice leaves in control condition (experimental), (d) Rice leaves in iron excess condition (experimental), (e) Rice leaves in iron excess condition (natural), (f) Perls staining of root from rice plants under control condition, (g) Perls staining of root from rice plants under stressed condition.
encoding gene BGLU42, MYB72 mediates the production and exportation of phenolic compounds, thus serving as a link between Fe acquisition and induced systematic resistance to the pathogen (Van der Ent et al., 2008). ILR3, an additional bHLH protein is active in metal ion-mediated auxin sensing in Arabidopsis roots. ILR3 might participate in controlling metal homeostasis by regulating gene expression implicated in intracellular iron transport. Mutation of ILR3 may display altered Fe uptake in the deficient condition along with a decrease of 3 genes homologous to yeast Ccc1p (Rampey et al., 2006). Yeast Ccc1p and its homolog VIT1 participate in vacuolar iron influx. In addition to VIT1, various other proteins are also involved in the process of intracellular metal transport for the proper maintenance of iron homeostasis in plants. NRAMP3/4, disruption of the vacuolar iron transport; causes increased sensitivity in case of iron low conditions (Li et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2006).

Fe-Dependent Transcription: Protein Level

A variety of signaling molecules and plant hormones modulates the Fe deficiency in a positive manner and their action, therefore, is involved in Fe-uptake. It has been established that various phytohormones like ethylene and auxin play a crucial role in the uptake of Fe during Fe-deficient condition. FIT acts as a central element in the transcriptional regulation for other hormonal pathways. For the up-regulation of FIT, as well as FER (Meiser et al., 2011; García et al., 2010; Graziano & Lamattina, 2007). Production of NO is essential; Ethylene and NO are required for the positive regulation of Fe-uptake. These two have an interconnected mechanism that includes corresponding influence on each other biosynthesis (García et al., 2010; Lingam et al., 2011). Ethylene has a positive effect on FIT transcription, because FIT can regulate its own gene transcription (Wang et al., 2007). NO also promotes FIT stability by preventing its degradation, which is proteasome-mediated (Van der Ent et al., 2008; Lingam et al., 2011; Sivitz et al., 2011). Auxin responses by acting upstream of ethylene and NO loop thus affecting positively to Fe-deficiency (Chen et al., 2010). GROWTH REGULATING FACTOR 11 (GRF11) is a part 160 of the root Fe-deficiency co-expression network encoding 14-3-3 protein, act downstream of NO 161 in promoting FIT transcription. As because FIT is also required for the up-regulation of GRF11, this regulation pattern is reciprocal acting through E-box elements in the GRF11 promoter. The gene expression of grf11 mutant and protein-protein interaction does not indicate the participation of GRF11 in the post-transcriptional regulation of FIT (J. L. Yang et al., 2013).

Experimental evidence suggests that plant hormone ethylene in dicot plants indicates a physiological connection between ethylene and iron deficiency signaling, ethylene is produced upon Fe deficiency (Li & Li, 2004; Zuchi et al., 2009) ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE 3 (EIN3) AND ETHYLENE INSENSITIVE3 LIKE 1 (EIL1) are two members of a plant-specific transcription factor that are activated through ethylene signaling pathway. EIN3/EIL1 act for the reorganization of the photosystems to reduce the photo-oxidative damage. This interaction reduces the chances of FIT degradation and maintains its active state, promoting Fe uptake (Lingam et al., 2011). The mediator (MED) complex, serves as a site-specific transcription factor and RNA polymerase II, revealed to be a part of the Fe-dependent gene expression. MED16 loss-of-function plants are hypersensitive to Fe deficiency and low level of expression of FIT, FRO2 and IRT1. MED16 interacts with MED25 which in turn interacts with EIN3/EIL1. However, MED16 can directly interacts with FIT (Yang et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2014). RNA
polymerase II complex acts upstream of FIT, IbbHLH genes, FRO2 and IRT1. Their effects can be seen in the few days of Fe-deficiency (Aksoy et al., 2013). Although the significant role of CPL1 as RNA polymerase II regulatory subunit is still debatable, it may be involved in the co-transcriptional mRNA processing due to the presence of double-stranded RNA binding domain (Goeres et al., 2007).

The cope up mechanism of crop against acidic soil

Various agronomic management has been made to cope with excess iron. Approaches like planting date, water management, ridge planting and most importantly pre-submergence of soils which are considered as cultural methods can be manipulated and applied to reduce the iron toxicity in crops. In addition to manual methodologies in controlling the acidity of soil, plant itself develops tolerance mechanisms to avoid metal stress under acidic condition (Ernst, 2006). At first step, some of the plants immobilize the metal itself by releasing root exudates. On the other hand, same exudates can help in chelation of metal helping its uptake under deficient condition. As every metal homeostasis is under control of specific genes, the alteration of the gene functions may lead to tolerance of these metallophytes (Mehes-Smith et al., 2013). As an iron toxicity tolerance, plants undergo enzymatic oxidation at the root surface forming root plaque as a first line of physical barrier. As a second line of defense, the antioxidant enzymes, like, Ascorbate peroxidase (APx), Peroxidase, Catalase, Superoxide dismutase (SOD), Glutathione reductase, are in continuous action reducing the oxidative stress in the plants (Mahender et al., 2019). Under iron deficiency, the plant localize additional Fe in the vacuole. Under necessary conditions, the Fe shall be transported from the vacuoles to other parts by transporters like VIT1, VIT2, PIC, NRAMP (Zhang et al., 2012; Kobayashi et al., 2005). Hence, under toxic condition, a combination of the cultivation of stress-tolerant crops along with the efficient practice of cultural methods can help of minimize the stress (Sahrawat, 2005).

CONCLUSION
The findings available till date on iron uptake in acid soils and their homeostasis reveals new avenues for future investigation for the exploration of the Fe-uptake mechanism and also provide few unsolved questions which need an explanation for the proper understanding of iron homeostasis. The link between basic transcriptional machinery and Fe response-specific transcriptional factor has already been explained in case of Fe-deficiency. One of the important void to be filled is in case of iron excess. Iron excess has its adverse effects on plant growth and reduces the total yield of the crop. However, a little is known about how the hormones play their role when plant roots come in contact with the Fe-excess stress condition.

Understanding physiological and morphological response leads to the first step towards establishing the plant behavior under toxic or deficient conditions. It opens the door for breeding prospects where an “efficient Fe genotype” can be crossed with “inefficient Fe genotype” as explained by Nikolic & Pavlovic (2018). Marker Assisted Selection (MAS) is one of the indirect methods of screening the desired genotypes through their morphological traits. This method can be used to bring genetic crop improvements with agronomic traits. In case of Iron stress, the traits shall include the tolerance mechanisms against both toxic and deficient state. The selected lines can be later transferred for field tests.

The molecular based Fe acquisition studies has made the interpretation of Strategy
I and II of both dicot and monocot much clear. However, signaling studies under Fe stress responses is yet to be extended. In recent years much work has been done to understand the transcriptional regulation of genes related to metal homeostasis. However, their regulation shall have much more meaning if a sequential hypothesis or model can be established explaining how the regulation of the genes are interrelated. Explorations with new tools and technologies make our physiological and morphological explanations more authenticated. X-ray fluorescence, X-ray absorption spectroscopy, SEM, TEM, are few of the techniques which has been helping us to understand the localization of Fe (Sperotto et al., 2018). Under both, excess or deficient condition, we therefore, can go for either excluding excess Fe from the tissues, or developing biofortification strategies for crops. The Next Generation sequencing is another way to find out the non-targeted gene and allele discovery. These methods can also lead to arrange breeding programs along with new biotechnological interventions like oligo directed mutagenesis, reverse breeding, RNA directed DNA methylation, Crispr-cas9 which can help us understanding the tolerance mechanisms against iron stress.
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**Abbreviation**

RSA  Root system architecture  
HA   H\(^{-}\)-ATPase  
FRO  Ferric Reductase Oxidase  
IRT  Iron Regulated Transporter  
ZRT  Zinc-regulated transporter  
ZIP  Zinc-regulated transporter, iron regulated-transporter like protein  
FIT  FER-Like Iron Deficiency Induced Transcription Factor  
MA   Mugineic acid,  
PS   Phytosiderophores  
TOM  Transporter of mugineic acid family phytosiderophores  
YS1  Yellow stripe 1  
YSL1 Yellow stripe 1 like  
NAS  Nicotianamine synthase  
NAAT Nicotianamine aminotransferase  
DMAS Deoxymugineic acid synthase  
DMA 2-deoxymugineic acid,  
ABA  Abscisic acid  
ROS  Reactive oxygen species  
FRD  Ferric reductase defective  
NO  Nitric oxide  
NA  Nicotianamine  
FER  Ferritin  
NRAMP Natural resistance-associated Macrophage Protein  
MYB  Myeloblastosis  
bHLH Basic Helix-Loop-Helix  
VIT  Vacuolar Membrane Transporter  
GRF  Growth Regulating Factor  
EIN3 Ethylene insensitive 3  
EIL1 Ethylene insensitive3 like 1