FACE-GIVING (BAGI MUKA) AND FACE-PROTECTING (JAGA MUKA) THROUGH METAPHORS: ANALYSIS OF VERBAL INDIRECTNESS STRATEGIES AND POLITENESS IN POLITICAL DISCOURSE

Foong Ha YAP

Hong Kong Polytechnic University

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We wish to gratefully acknowledge funding from the Hong Kong Polytechnic University for the following two research grants:

- Internal Competitive Research Grant 2012-2014 (HKPU G-YK85) for the research project entitled "*Establishing Common Ground in Public Discourse: An Analysis of Electoral Speeches, Press Conferences and Q&A Sessions in Hong Kong*"
- Department Research Grant 2014-2016 (HKPU G-UA2N) for the research project entitled "Characterization Metaphors in Asian Electoral Speeches: Case Studies from South Asia and the Asia-Pacific Region".
- Research team members:

Steven Wong Serena Deng

Ariel Chan

Special thanks for valuable feedback and assistance to:

Dennis Tay, Phoebe Lin, William Feng, Brian Wong, Vivien Yang, Ivanka Lou, Leo Liu, and Mary Ye.

INTRODUCTION: FACEWORK

- Facework is fundamental to human interaction.
- In many languages there are special terms for face-giving and face-saving.
- In Malay/Indonesian, we have terms such as *bagi muka* 'give face' and *jaga muka* 'protect face'.
- Facework is important in daily life, not only in the personal domain but also the professional and public domains as well.

FOCUS OF THIS TALK

- We focus on facework in political discourse from a cross-cultural perspective.
- In particular, we focus on politicians' use of metaphor as a verbal indirectness strategy:
 - constructing positive political identities for self
 - constructing negative political identities for rivals

METHODOLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

- Conceptual Blending Theory (Fauconnier & Turner 2002)
- Interactional Linguistics framework (e.g. Selting & Couper- Kuhlen 2001)

DATA

• Data for our analysis come from recent election campaigns in Asian regions, including Singapore and Hong Kong.

• Findings from a perception study will also be discussed to examine the effects of metaphor in enhancing, maintaining, or damaging a speaker's public image.

OBJECTIVES

- To examine how electoral candidates construct political identities through the use of metaphors in electoral discourse:
 - Constructing favorable political identities for self
 - Constructing unfavorable political identities for rivals
- To analyze the facework implications of these political metaphors.

WHY USE METAPHORS?

- Metaphors are "innovative and creative; they do not stem from pre-existing similarities, but *induce* similarities between different objects" (italics added).
- In this way, they help trigger a sense of *awe*, *wonder* and *delight* in us and "contribute to our new understanding and perception of the world".

(Yeung, Yung & Fan 2013: 7; citing Hausman 1989)







Metaphor and conceptual blends (Turner & Fauconnier 1995; Fauconnier & Turner 2002)



November 2001, The Arizona Republic







VARIOUS TYPES OF VISUAK METAPHORS

Forceville (1996, 2010) identifies 3 different types of visual metaphors:

- Simile
- Hybrid metaphor
- Contextual metaphor















WHY LOOK AT VISUAL METAPHORS IN POLITICAL DISCOURSE?

- Metaphors abound in political discourse, often with no shortage of them in electoral speeches.
- Political leaders often use metaphors to establish common ground with the public.
- At the same time, they also often use metaphors to criticize their rivals, and thus indirectly promote themselves.
- Metaphors are an excellent means to narrativize and characterize oneself and others.

METAPHORS IN POLITICAL DISCOURSE

- Metaphors are highly valued because:
 - they add a touch of novelty and wit to what is said, and thus greatly enhance the speaker's positive self-image;
 - at the same time, they can also be used as verbal indirectness strategies to mitigate potential face-threats to both speaker and addressee.

(Kuo 2003; Obeng 1997; Wilson 1990)

POLITICAL METAPHORS AND HUMOR

- Political metaphors are often accompanied by humor (sometimes with a heavy dose of sarcasm as well).
- This combination is frequently used to criticize rivals without excessive violations to politeness maxims.

(Kiley & Shuttleworth 1971; Inge 1990; Lewis 2006; Taskona 2009)

NARRATIVE THEORY

- Narration and renarration of political events
 - contextualize individual stories into a bigger picture
 - meanings framed by socio-cultural and political contexts
 - a tool of manipulation biasedly constructed according to an underlying purpose (Mona Baker, invited talk at HK PolyU, March 30, 2016)

- Constructing political identities through narration/renarration during election campaign events
 - Donald Trump vs. Marco Rubio (Feb, 25, 2016) https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=CR0k5xdUxBs



SPECIFIC RESEARCH QUESTIONS

- *How* do politicians use (visual) metaphors in electoral discourse?
- *How effective* are these (visual) metaphors in helping electoral candidates construct positive political identities for themselves and negative ones for others?

OUR ANALYSIS OF THE 2012 HONG KONG ELECTORAL DISCOURSE

- Some visual metaphors used in the 2012 Hong Kong Legislative Council Election Debates

 - ℜ The cushion metaphor (if time permits)
 - ↔ The actor metaphor (Journal of Pragmatics; Chan & Yap 2015)
 - ↔ The anime-lover metaphor (Journal of Pragmatics; Chan & Yap 2015)
- We probably won't have enough time to cover metaphors in the 2015 Singapore electoral discourse (but see Wong et al. 2016)
 - *∞* e.g. Over the past 50 years, Singapore has evolved from an unassuming sampan to a luxury cruise liner.
- Conceptual blending analysis of (visual) metaphors
- Perception study on the effectiveness of these metaphors Over the past 50 years, Singapore has evolved from an unassuming sampan to a luxury cruise liner.

DATA SOURCE

- Database: 5 televised debates hosted by RTHK
- Period: 18th August 1st September 2012
- 50-60 minutes each Total minutes of data: 290 minutes

- Total no. of metaphors: 48 (visual and non-visual ones)
- Gift-giving session (送大禮環節) inside the debate: Candidates can present gifts, either to the public or to particular rivals. Most of the candidates would make use of this chance to attack the opponents.

Frequency of characterization metaphors in political discourse

Types of metaphors	Number of tokens	
Characterization	32	
Event	3	
Illness	2	
Ball	2	
Plant	2	
Stone	2	
Bag	1	
Paper	1	
Plate	1	
Seat	1	
Rubbish	1	3







										D	王建聯
з	希望	며튼	裁定		5e	政策		며릴	像	-	R alla
	hei1mong6	le1	ngo5de	i6	fong4uk1	l zing3c	aak3	/e1	hai6		
	hope	PRT	1PL		housing	policy		PRT	COP		
4	有	房屋	-		暗梯・						
	jou5	fong4uk1	ge3		gaailtail						
	EXIST	house	ATTR		lødder						
5	\$ 1	21.5 5		-22	市民	qe		গয়	快哟	上到	in the second s
	ling6dou3	tonglfong	12	ge3	si5 ma	n4 lei	L d	ho2 jð	faai3di1	soeng5-dou2	gungluki
	make	sub-divide	d.units	ATTR	citizen	PR	t i	can	quickly	enter-POT	public housing
		ns), sot	hat th	ose	who liv					ig) our hous <mark>can move ir</mark>	

									~	XAAAA
6	小朋・	即像	後生		uit:	朋友	명물	गध	實得起	12
	siu2pang4	zik1hai6	hau6sa	ang1	ge3	pang4jau5	/e1	ho2ji3	maai5-dak1hei2	1002
	children	that.is	young		ATTR	friends	PRT	can	buy-POT	house
7	中查	9E	र्राः	截	œ	-		徴	影響・	
	zung1coon2	le1	jik6	doul	<i>m</i> 4	seoi1jiu.	3	zou6	fong2lou4	
	middle.class	PRT	also	EMP	NEG	necessa	ny .	do	housing slave	
	'(We also (while) the								wn a house, housing.'	



											其代外才性
80	亦都	â	像	图	送	#	全港		-1 <u>1</u>	青年人・	-
	jik6dou	1	hai6	jiu3	sung3	bei2	cyun4 g	ong2	ge3	cing1lin4jon4	4
	also		COP	need	give	DAT	all.Hong	Kong.	ATTR	youngsters	
09	希望			92	大家	塘	±≇•		##		
	hei1ma	ng6		le1	daai6gaa:	l hoi2	zi3jip6		hokőj	ip6	
	hope			PRT	everyone	at	home.owr	ership	studie	5	
10	武業		同坦		218		方面		qE ·		
	гоибјір	6	tung	4maai4	cong3j	ip6	fong1mir	6	le1		
	career		and		start.up	business	aspect		PRT		
11	有	_	個	ita i	F	流動		在	階根	8 -	
	jou5	jot:	l go	3 hoe	ng3 soeng	6 lau4dui	ng6	ge3	gaai	1 toil	
	EXIST	one	CL	tow	ards up	mobility	i I	ATTR	ladd	er	
	way(lit.	ladd	er) for		nobility i	ntermso	fhon		gthat there ership, stud	





















WHAT THE 'LADDER' METAPHOR DOES

- The ladder metaphor provides a narrative whereby **political identities are constructed and reconstructed** by rival parties.
- This is possible because symbolic representations behind a given metaphor can be interpreted differently by different political parties and these different interpretations are then used to compete for the audience's attention.
- Heightens the curiosity of the audience.
- Engages the audience in deeper thinking through a rich network of mental maps.
- Provides a visual cue to make a more lasting impact in the memory of the audience.
- Creates a positive public image for oneself
- At the same time can create a negative image of rivals through verbal indirectness, without openly flouting politeness maxims.

AUDIENCE-PERCEPTON ANALYSIS OF THE 2012 HONG KONG LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTION

- To examine how politicians' use of visual metaphors help them to construct a positive image for themselves and negative ones for their rivals when aggressively campaigning for votes
- Pre-test and post-test computerized questionnaire
 - 43 participants were asked to indicate their impression of the public image of each candidate using a 10-point Likert scale.
 - Participants were then shown videos of political candidates using (visual) metaphors and were asked to rate each candidate again.
 - The two ratings were analyzed statistically.
 - Comparisons were made for (visual) metaphors in which the candidates (a) promoted themselves,
 - (b) discredited others,
 - (c) or did both.





CONCLUSION

- 1. Politicians need to construct a positive political identity for themselves, and they sometimes also engage in constructing a negative political identity for their rivals, particularly during election campaigns.
- 2. Because both the act of self-promotion and the act of other-denigration can be facethreatening to the political candidate himself/herself, verbal indirectness strategies are often deployed to help mitigate the face threats through indirect but clever talk.

- 3. Among these verbal indirectness strategies is the use of (visual) metaphors as witty and 'relatively safe' narrative strategies to characterize oneself and/or others.
- 4. Skillful politicians can use (visual) metaphors not only to promote themselves and discredit others, but when attacked, they can also use (visual) metaphors to reconstruct their damaged identities as well, sometimes to the detriment of their attacker.
- 5. Conceptual blend analyses help us better understand the contribution of metaphors in the construction of memorable mental images.
- 6. Our perception study reveals that during the 2012 Hong Kong Legislative Council Election, skillful politicians were often able to either improve or at least maintain their positive public image ratings through clever use of (visual) metaphors.

REFERENCES

Fauconnier, G., & Turner, M. 2002. *The Way We Think: Conceptual Blending and the Mind's Hidden Complexities*. New York: Basic Books.

Forceville, C. 1996. Pictorial Metaphor in Advertising. London: Routledge.

- Forceville, C., Mulken, M., & Pair, R. 2010. The impact of perceived complexity, deviation and comprehension on the appreciation of visual metaphor in advertising across three European countries. *Journal of Pragmatics* 42: 3418–3430.
- Hausman, C.R. 1989. Metaphor and Art. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Kuo, S-H. 2003. "You're a little rabbit in a pack of foxes": Animal metaphors in Chinese political discourse. *Journal of Chinese Linguistics* 31(1): 72-100.
- Lakoff, G., & Johnson, M. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Obeng, S.G. 1997. Language and Politics: indirectness in political discourse. *Discourse and Society* 8(1): 49-83.
- Taskona, V. 2009. Humor and image politics in parliamentary discourse: a Greek case study. *Text & Talk* 29(2): 219-237.
- Turner, M., & Fauconnier, G. 1995. Conceptual integration and formal Expression. *Metaphor and Symbolic Activity* 10(3): 183-203.
- Wilson, J. 1990. *Politically Speaking: The Pragmatic Analysis of Political Language*. London: Blackwell.
- Yeung, C.K., Yuen, S.Y., & Fan, Y.H. 2013. Visual metaphors in print advertisement. Manuscript, Department of English, Hong Kong Polytechnic University.

OVERVIEW

- 1. Narrativizing through (visual) metaphor
- 2. Narrative theory and the construction of political identities
- 3. Use of (visual) metaphors in Hong Kong political discourse
 - 3.1 The ladder metaphor
 - 3.2 The cushion metaphor (if time permits)
- 4. A conceptual blending analysis of (visual) metaphors in HK electoral discourse
- 5. A perception study on the public image of political candidates before and after their use of (visual) metaphors
- 6. Conclusion