Exploration of Critical Thinking Skills in Digital Citizenship Course Through Online Learning
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Abstract:

Low critical thinking skills cause students difficulty in solving problem, especially in learning. This study aims to find out the effectiveness of google classroom on critical thinking skills in Simulation dan Digital Communication. A total of 62 students participated in this research who were divided into two randomized treatments, namely 31 students in the experimental class and 31 students in the control class. In its implementation, it would compare using LMS google classroom by applying discussion groups to experimental class and control class that use google classroom, not in discussion groups. This research used a quasi experimental design with a pretest-posttest using a quantitative method. The data were collected through a critical thinking test. Before using multiple-choice pretest and posttest multiple-choice tests, instruments were tested first using validity tests and reliability tests. Google classroom as an independent variable and the dependent variable was critical thinking. Implementing learning with the help of google classroom through discussion and question features was an effort to effectively improve critical thinking skills in class. According to the result of the study, google classroom has effectiveness on critical thinking skills from the result in the experimental class of 45.609 Increased critical thinking skills in students cause students to analyze and solve problems.
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Introduction

The way a person communicates now tends to be mostly done in cyberspace, various kinds of characteristics, ideas, habits, and purpose can be found in cyberspace. Communicating in cyberspace that does not bring individuals or groups together leads to a loss of responsibility, ethics, and norms in communicating. Therefore, the importance of simulation and digital communication courses in which to learn about digital citizenship. Simulation and digital communication were learning that equips students to communicate ideas through digital media that was certainly important for students in the technology era (Anggraini & Bachri, 2018). Hosnan also said that in 2013 curriculum learning materials were explained through a scientific approach, where students were trained to observe problems, analyze, solved, and communicate using technology (Putranta et al., 2019).

In Vocational High School, students learn digital citizenship to prepare to become responsible digital citizens and conform to existing norms. To study simulation and digital communication courses also needed a strong understanding (Yanuar & Khotimah, 2018). To prepare and learn it all needs critical thinking skills. Critical thinking skills are useful for students to analyzing and concluding behaviors and actions in cyberspace especially in communicating with others whether by applicable rules and norms or not. To prepare and learn it all takes critical thinking skills. Critical thinking skills are useful for students analyzing and concluding behaviors and actions in cyberspace especially in communicating with others whether in accordance with applicable rules and norms or not. Lestari (2016) also strengthens that teachers needed to develop students’ critical thinking skills to understand learning concepts, be sensitive to problems, provide solutions, be able to apply in different or similar positions, and facing various challenges. Simulation and digital communication courses required students to actively learn so that they can develop creativity and solve problems where they should be able to think critically in response the existing problems (Sepriana et al., 2019).

Based on these conditions, alternative in improving critical thinking is to implement online learning based on LMS (Learning Management System) which has discussion and questions features that can be used outside of lesson hours. Various features such as question and discussion (stream) can improve communication and critical thinking. Furthermore, LMS facilitates student’s learning because their data will be saved in a cloud or database that makes learning materials can be used at any time and organized (Iftakhar, 2016).

The pandemic caused students to learn at home online, so there are limitations of research, so both classes must be conducted online. Control class discussion activities are conducted online. Meanwhile, discussion in the experiment class were conducted using the feature in google classroom. Google classroom is equipped by Google-owned facilities such as drive, docs, and various features that have been provided to support learning. The stream feature is a feature to have discussions that can be done by teachers and students. While the question feature is the question and answers feature. Teachers can have discussions and can send questions to students through virtual classes provided for the student to do, as well as students can answer through the virtual class either in private comments or class comments. Google Classroom also provides space to be able to communicate with students, ask questions, create tasks, help facilitate online learning in the digital world (Ketut Sudarsana et al., 2019).

When learning is divided into two groups, the experiment class and the control class in which it would compare the use of LMS Google Classroom with discussion and question features in experiment classes and control classes that used LMS Google classroom without discussion and question features. After providing the necessary posttest treatment contained High Order Thinking Skills. Measuring HOTS (High Order Thinking Skills) or high-level skills including problem-solving skills, critical thinking skills, arguing, thinking, creative thinking skills, and decision-making skills in Bloom Taxonomy requires analyzing (C4), evaluating (C5), and making (C6) (Widana, 2017).

There are some previous works which also discuss about discussion feature in google classroom such as Rahmad and the team (2019) that the use of discussion and question features in google classroom makes it easier for students to analyzed problems or answer questions in their own language so as to practice students’ critical thinking skills. In addition, Draigma Kuzmanosnka (2019) also conducted similar research using the discussion feature in Google Classroom to debates and discussions inside and outside the classroom to develop critical thinking skills. The study also added that teachers should set material content from the lowest taxonomic level to higher taxonomy.
Based on the research above, the use of online learning can improve critical thinking skills. In those study, there is no correlation between google classroom features such as discussion and question features, critical thinking, and simulation and digital communication. The hope to be achieved in this research is to find out the effectiveness of the Google classroom using the discussion and question features for critical thinking in simulations and digital communication on digital citizenship course.

From the literature review above, this research adopted theory about features in google classroom that can increase critical thinking skills. So, The purpose of this study is to find out the difference in critical thinking ability between applying google classroom with discussion and question features and applying google classroom without discussion and question features to simulations and digital communication course and knowing the effectiveness of google classroom use discussion and question features usage to critical thinking ability on Digital Citizenship course.

**Research Method**

Type of research used a quantitative approach. The purpose of quantitative research is to find out the relationship between variables and test existing hypotheses. The method used is quasi experimental design. This method was chosen because the study could not control all the variables that influenced the research process. Besides, experiment and control groups have been formed from scratch, without the need to create new groups again (W.Creswell & Creswell, 2018). In this design, there are two classes: experiment class and control class. A study that wants to investigate the effectiveness of new learning is needed by other groups a comparison. Each class was given a pretest first, then the experimental class was given a treatment of applying stream and question features in Google classroom, while the control class used only google classroom without using stream and question features. After that, continued by working on a posttest.

The research was conducted using cluster random sampling because the samples used were not composed of individuals, but rather consisted of groups of individuals or clusters (Lestari et al., 2016). Cluster random sampling had the same characteristics and the results would represent the entire group in 10th grade of Software Engineering in the second semester (2019-2020). The sample count was 31 students for the experimental class and 31 students for the control class from software engineering department. Data collection techniques using pretest and posttest. The dependent variable in this study was google classroom and the independent variable was critical thinking.

Before using a multiple-choice tests that was pretest and posttest with case study weighted questions, instruments were tested first using validity and reliability tests. After the test, then the next research was carried out, data can be processed to test the hypothesis. Data analysis techniques used normality test to analyze statistical data and describe sample data from a variety of sources (Okeniyi et al., 2020), homogeneity tests to see samples have the same variance or not in a population (Hidayati, 2017), balance test to know the initial capabilities of both classes whether or not the same (Hidayati, 2017), and posttest results using Normalized gain tests to see how effective the use of google classroom LMS is (Khaharsyah, 2019).

**Results and Discussion**

To find out an increase in student's critical thinking skills of students who use LMS google classroom using discussion and question features and using LMS google classroom without using discussion and features, Google Classroom analysis of critical thinking is required using pretest and posttest scores. At google classroom, students are prepared to discuss using discussion and question features, where students will be created into groups. Each group discusses issues around case studies about digital citizenship. The teacher serves as a moderator. After that, each group will discuss and express its opinion. Then, other groups can respond to the group's statement. Before the teacher gives a conclusion, the teacher gives an opportunity to students who want to conclude from various group answers. These discussion activities help students produce interpretation, analysis, evaluation, inference, explanation, and self-regulation where it is an indicator of critical thinking ability delivered by Facione. Pretest results is obtained from the work of multiple-choice questions consisting of 25 questions points and posttest obtained from the work of multiple-choice question consisting of 23 items. Description of pretest and posttest data can be viewed in Table 1.

Table 1. Experiment Class and Control Class Average Data
Based on Table 1 the pretest average in the control class was 55.23 and the posttest was 72.23 increased by 15.10. Meanwhile, the control class pretest average was 55.23 and posttest 75.58 was an increase of 20.35. The result of the balancing test using the independent sample t-test was sig.0.059>0.05 which meant the initial capabilities of both groups were declared balanced. Here's a critical thinking hypothesis:

H₀ There is no increase in critical thinking on the use of LMS google classroom in 10th grade of Software engineering in simulation and digital communication course

H₁ There is an increase in critical thinking on the use of LMS google classroom in 10th grade of Software engineering in simulation and digital communication course

The first hypothesis test used the Independent Sample T-test from the test results of the experimental class and control class. If the probability value (Sig. 2-tailed) < 0.05 then H₁ is accepted and H₀ is rejected. Independent Sample T-test results can be viewed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variance Equation</th>
<th>Levene’s Test</th>
<th>T Test</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Sig.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assumed</td>
<td>0,313</td>
<td>0,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Assumed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 2 data of the first hypothesis test results, obtained F 0.313 with Sig. 0.578 where greater than the error level (0.05) means no variance in both classes. Thus the sig value (2-tailed) was assumed to be 0.037 where smaller than 0.05 which means the two classes have a difference then H₀ is rejected and H₁ is accepted which reads “There is an increase in critical thinking on the use of LMS google classroom in 10th grade of Software engineering simulation and digital communication course”.

To find out the effectiveness of increasing the use of LMS Google Classroom using discussion and question features against the critical thinking skills of students. Thus, a second hypothesis test used the N Gain Test from the test results of the experiment and control classes. Independent Sample T-test results can be viewed in Table 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Pretest Average</th>
<th>Posttest Average</th>
<th>Gain Score</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Experiment</td>
<td>55,23</td>
<td>75,88</td>
<td>45,609</td>
<td>Quite effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>55,10</td>
<td>72,73</td>
<td>37,211</td>
<td>Ineffective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on Table 3 data of gain test results in the experimental class obtained a value of 45,609 with a quite effective category. As for the 37,211th control class with an ineffective category. From these results, it is concluded that the effectiveness of LMS Google Classroom's based learning towards critical thinking is higher than using conventional learning.

Discussion
This study aims to determine the improvement and effectiveness of critical thinking in Google Classroom focused on learning about digital citizenship. The study also looked samples in class 10th grade software engineering. After testing instruments, the next thing is to divide 2 groups, namely the experiment group and the control group.

Efforts are being made to improve their thinking in Simulation and Digital Communication courses by implementing LMS Google Classroom. The hypothesis result of the posttest value results in sig. (2-tailed) 0.037 means the value is less than 0.05 so H0 is rejected and H1 is accepted. Thus, it can be concluded that there is an increase in critical thinking using LMS Google Classroom through discussion and question features on Simulated and Digital Communication courses.


Student Assessment and Evaluation, 3(1), 32–44. https://doi.org/10.21009/jisae.031.04