UNS Fintech Center Bulletin of Fintech and Digital Economy

Keywords:

Sociodemography, selfassessment, financial characteristics, financial technology.

Corresponding Author: Anisa Aisyah Pricillia

Tel. E-mail:

viasoedijono@gmail.com

1. Introduction

Industrial Revolution The 40 developed in almost all parts of the world, including Indonesia. One of the challenges of the industrial revolution 4.0 is the rapid development of technology. The development of technology has changed people's lifestyles to be more practical, easy, cheap and everything runs fast. The development of technology, especially information technology, has changed the way people communicate, fulfill their needs, transact and various other aspects of life, including work and socializing. Technology has also changed business dynamics, the company's operating service system, administration, to consumers, all aspects have used apps systems technology that give impact on efficiency and accelerate the completion of business work.

The rapid development of science and technology produced new innovations in various forms of technology, such as in communication and information, economy, industry, consumption, social, transportation, transactions and so on. The

Bulletin of Fintech and Digital Economy

Determinants of Use of Financial Technology Services in Households in Central Java

Irwan Trinugroho¹, Hanifa Rizkya Oktaviandari² Faculty of Economics and Business, Universitas Sebelas Maret, Jl. Ir. Sutami 36A, Surakarta, 57126, Indonesia

Abstract

The development of financial technology is growing faster, and all financial institutions seem to be racing to switch from conventional to digital. It is interesting to examine how people motivate tomake choices using financial technology in making transactions. This study aims to determine the correlation between sociodemography, self-assessment, and financial characteristics to the preference of financial technology in Central Java. This study uses a quantitative method with a survey model, and the sampling technique used is random sampling. Data analysis techniques in this study are using Stata version 15. This study resulted that sociodemography, self-assessment, and financial characteristics had a significant influence on the decision to choose the use of financial technology services through financial literacy in the people of Central Java

development of computer technology has created Artificial Intelligence (AI) that provides new opportunities for changes in all aspects of human life. In the first stage of the Industrial Revolution 4.0, it began extraordinary with an computing revolution, the rapid development of information technology and AI (artificial intelligence), continuous the to development of the functionalization of technology for life. Among the community, especially the younger generation or millennial group, it is a group of people who control the Internet of Things (IoT) in which almost all life assist tools are connected to the internet network and can be done with their respective gadgets

One of the forms of utilizing internet-based information technology is digital banking services. This technology plays a role in moving the financial transaction system from direct transactions to technologybased transactions. Banking technology financial service is a service that uses digital or electronic tools that can be accessed by customers to assist in transactions.

The digital disruption that occurred has resulted innovation in the financial industry, the emergence of Financial Technology / Fintech. The existence of Fintech can help people do the transactions or borrow funds easier. Fintech is an alternative form of technology-based financial services. Fintech financial services are developed well according to the support of time, market needs, and the Covid-19 pandemic. For this reason, banks also have developed various forms of digital-based services with I-Banking or M-Banking to answer the needs of today's society.

2. Literature review

2.1 Financial Technology

According to Bank Indonesia (2018), financial technology is a combination of financial services with technology, which transforms the business model from conventional to modern, initially pays face-toface and carries a certain amount of cash, now the payments can be made in instant mode. Another income from The National Digital Research Center (NDRC) in Pranata & Hasanah (2019) stated that financial technology is an "innovation in financial services" in other words, innovation in the financial sector is given a touch of modern technology. Financial transactions using Fintech include payments, investments, money loans, transfers, financial planning, and comparisons in financial products (Rizal, Maulina, & Kostini, 2018).

2.2 Sociodemoghrapic Characteristics

Demography is a study of the human population and its relationship to changes that arise as a result of birth, death, and immigration (Pressat, 1985). Another opinion states that demography is a statistical and mathematical study of size, composition, and distribution of the population and its changes over time through five components, birth, death, marriage, migration, and social mobility (Bogue, 1973). However, according to The Great Dictionary of Indonesian Language, sociodemographic is formed by two words, socio which means the study of humans and demography which means the description of the population. Socio Demographic means a description of humans related to the purpose of a quantitative study which can describe qualitative characteristics. Sociodemographic characteristics can be characterized in several dynamics of human life in society. These

characteristics include education level, ethnicity, religion, class, marital status, region such as village, city and so on. Theoretically, sociodemographic differences provide the differences in characteristics of behavior, culture, perspective and characteristics of the community group.

The results of the research conducted by (Loix, et al, 2015) state that sociodemographic financial and characteristics are one of the factors that influence consumers in adopting and using electronic payment systems. Sociodemography is influenced by the customer's internal factors in the form of age, gender, education level, profession and other preferences of the customer. In addition, the social environment can be influenced by external factors derived from the surroundings. In theory, both have a significant effect on someone deciding to use a digital banking service platform. The first hypothesis of this research can be formulated as:

H1. sociodemographic has a positive effect on the choice of using financial technology services in Central Java

2.3 Self Assessment

According to experts, self-research is an assessment technique which individuals can assess themselves by regarding the status, process and level of achievement of the competencies learned (Hariyati, Rohman Journal, 2012: 2). The main purpose of self-research is to be able foster selfconfidence and to responsibility in individuals because the person who knows exactly about each individual is the individual himself, and becomes the best assessor of his own work results. If individuals continue to maintain this attitude and conduct self-assessments, it will give a positive impact on individual character development because selfassessment can increase self-esteem and make individuals better in person.

Self-assessment by the society determines the society's decision to use the type of financial service. In addition, this self-assessment is related to the knowledge, attitudes and actions taken by the society to use Fintech services. According to research by (Jappelli & Padula, 2015), someone who is more comprehensible in finance tends to be more active in making financial decisions such as saving and investing while they are still working to prepare for their retirement. The relationship between selfassessment and choice to use digital banking services is predicted to be positive. The second hypothesis of this research can be formulated as:

H2. Self-assessment has a positive effect on the choice of using financial technology services in Central Java

2.4 Financial Characteristics

Financial characteristics are habits or culture owned by community groups in managing their finances. In general, people's income will be used to fulfill the needs of life and saved in the form of savings to maintain needs that one day will come unexpectedly. According to research conducted by the Deutsche Bundesbank in 2016 (in Junger et. al. 2019) financial characteristics can be measured by the level of a person's desire and habit in saving the money they have.

A person's financial characteristics is the form of his/her perception and reference to manage his finances wisely. Financial characteristics is a knowledge and understanding of financial concepts

and risks, along with the skills, motivation, and confidence to apply the knowledge and understanding they have in order to make effective financial decisions. improve individual and community financial well-being, and participate in the economic field. Conceptually, knowledge of managing finances affects people's decision to use digital banking services (OECD, 2016). The relationship between financial characteristics and the choice to use digital banking services is predicted to be positive. The third hypothesis of this research can be formulated as:

H3. Financial characteristics have a positive effect on the choice of using technology financial services in Central Java

2.5 Financial Characteristics

Financial literacy is the ability of a person or society to use their income for daily needs. People's knowledge of using their money for living expenses, investment, and working capital is very important to increase the use value of money in prospering their lives. Society must have the ability of financial management in order to manage their families finances properly. Financial literacy or literacy in managing money is required by everyone.

Lusardi (2012) states that financial literacy consists of a number of abilities and knowledge about finances owned by a person to be able to manage or use a certain amount of money to improve his standard of living. Financial literacy is closely related to behavior, habits and the influence of external factors. Based on the PISA (2012) Financial Literacy Assessment Framework (OECDINFE, 2012) in Aribawa (2016), defined that financial literacy is a fundamental factor economic growth and financial for stability. From the consumer's point of view, good financial literacy will lead to spending decisions that prioritize quality.

3. Research Methods

3.1 Research Methology

This study empirically analyzes several factors such as sociodemographic characteristics, self-assessment, and financial characteristics on the choice of using Fintech through financial literacy in Central Java. This research is quantitative research, which uses Stata version 15 on the data processing analyzes.

3.2 Variable Operational Definition

This study used 3 types of variables, the dependent variable, the independent variable, and the control variable. The dependent variable includes the use of I-Banking, digital wallets, and Fintech. The independent variable includes the gender, age, marital status, education level, income, trustworthiness, sensitivity, price patience, transparency, risk, financial knowledge, saving characteristics, total financial knowledge, and total asset ownership. All independent variables are obtained by survey results that have been distributed by researchers. The control variable includes the total financial literacy obtained by the results of a questionnaire regarding financial literacy.

3.3 Analysis Method

The analytical technique of this study utilized multiple regression analysis to test 3 hypotheses and processed using Stata version 15. The first analysis was descriptive statistical analysis aimed at explaining in general the data studied and viewed through the mean, median, mode, standard deviation, minimum and maximum value. Afterwards, perform classical assumption test which includes normality test, multicollinearity test, heteroscedasticity test, outliers test, and Ordinary Least Square (OLS) regression test and robustness check. Further, the hypothesis test by the coefficient of determination, F test, and T test.

4. Results and Discussion

4.1 Descriptive Analysis

To provide an overview of the researcher's survey results, a descriptive analysis was carried out in order to provide an accurate overview of the survey data. The test results can be seen in the table below:

Variabel	0bs	Mean	Std.Dev	Min	Max
Penggunaan I-Banking (pib)	276	0.6086	0.4889	0	1
Penggunaan Dompet Digital (pdd)	276	0.4565	0.4990	0	1
Penggunaan layanan Fintech	276	0.6956	0.4609	0	1
Gender	276	0.5797	0.4945	0	1
Umur	276	3.1304	0.9969	1	4
Marital Status (ms)	276	0.8224	0.3828	0	1
Tingkat Pendidikan (tp)	276	2.6413	0.7422	1	3
Pendapatan (pend)	276	2.4239	1.0151	1	4
Sifat Percaya (tru)	276	3.1557	1.0380	1	5
Sensitivitas Harga (hrg)	276	2.5688	1.0084	1	5
Transparansi (trans)	276	1.7210	0.8124	1	5
Resiko (risk)	276	2.6086	0.9867	1	5
Sifat Sabar (sbr)	276	2.2862	0.8320	1	5
Pengetahuan Keuangan (pkeu)	276	2.6086	0.9100	1	5
Total Pengetahuan Keuangan (tk)	276	2.0471	1.5751	0	5
Total Kepemilikan Aset (to)	276	1.2572	0.8712	0	5
Total Literasi Keuangan (tfl)	276	6.4601	1.7252	2	10

Table 1 Descriptive Analysis

Source: Data processed with Stata version 15

From the table above, it showed the average Fintech user in Central Java is 60.87% I-Banking users. With a deviation standard of 0.4889, the lowest value (min) 0 and the highest value (max) 1. The digital wallet user variable has an average value (mean) of 0.4565 indicating that Fintech service users in Central Java are 45.65% using a digital wallet. With a standard deviation of 0.4990 with the lowest value (min) 0 and the highest value (max) 1.

The gender variable has an average value of 0.5797 with a standard deviation of

0.4945, the lowest value (min) 0 and the highest value (max) 1. Age variable with an average value of 3.130 with a standard deviation of 0.9969, the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 4. The marital status variable has an average value of 0.8224 with a standard deviation value of 0.3828, the lowest value (min) 0 and the highest value (max) 1. Level variable Education with an average value of 2.641 and a standard deviation of 0.7422 with the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 3. The income variable has an

average value of 2.423 with a standard deviation value of 1.015, the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 4.

The confidence variable has an average value of 3,155 with a standard deviation value of 1,038, the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 5. The price variable has an average value of 2,568 with a standard deviation value of 1,008 and has the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 5. Transparency variable has an average value of 1,721 and a standard deviation of 8124 with the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 5. The risk variable has an average value of 2,608 with a standard deviation value (min) 1 and the highest value of .9867 and the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 5.

The patience variable has an average value of 2.286 and a standard deviation value of .8320 with the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 5. The financial knowledge variable has an average value of 2.608 with a standard deviation value of .9100 and the lowest (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 5. The variable of saving characteristics has an average value of 3,460 with a standard deviation of .6510, the lowest value (min) 1 and the highest value (max) 4. The variable total financial knowledge (tp) has an average value of 2,047 with a standard deviation value of 1,575 and the lowest value (min) 0 and the highest value (max) 5.

The variable ownership total of financial instruments (to) has an average value of 1.257 with a standard deviation value of .8712 and the lowest value (min) 0 and the highest value (max) 3. The control variable total of financial literacy (tfl) is the total of several questions regarding the understanding of research subjects on financial literacy, with an average value of 6,460 and the standard deviation value is 1,725 , the lowest value (min) 2 and the highest value (max) 10.

4.2 Correlation Matrix

1	1	1	1	1	1		1		1	1	1	1						
Varia bel	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Gend	1.00																	
er	U																	
Umur	0.14 8	1.00 0																
pib	0.00 9	- 0.09	1.00 0															
		6																
ndd	_	-	036	1.00														
puu	0.08	0.25	2	0														
	9	2																
pf	-	-	0.80	0.60	1.00													
1	0.05	0.17	9	6	0													
	3	4																
ms	0.14	0.69	-	-	-	1.00												
	2	0	0.02	0.10	0.06	0												
			3	7	0													
tp	0.02	0.43	-	-	-	0.40	1.00											
1	4	2	0.06	0.03	0.06	2	0											
			8	7	5													
pend	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.06	0.21	0.4	0.3	1.00										
	61	88	84	9	5	94	52	0										

Table 2 Correlation Matrix

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	tru	-	0.0	-	-	-	0.1	0.0	0.02	1.00									
8 10 7 1 6 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 100 <td></td> <td>0.19</td> <td>75</td> <td>0.08</td> <td>0.06</td> <td>0.10</td> <td>06</td> <td>63</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		0.19	75	0.08	0.06	0.10	06	63	7	0									
hrg 0.0		8		7	1	6	00	05	<i>'</i>	U I									
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	hra	_	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.03	0.01	1.00								
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	шg	0.07	0.0	0.0	- 0.08	- 0.04	0.0	0.02	0.05	0.01	1.00								
3 3 1 1 1 3 1 <td></td> <td>3</td> <td>49</td> <td>33</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>55</td> <td>2</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		3	49	33	1	1	55	2	7	2	0								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5			4	1		3											
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	trans	-	0.1	-	-	-	0.0	-	0.03	0.00	0.17	1.00							
0 0 0 1 0 <td></td> <td>0.03</td> <td>39</td> <td>0.02</td> <td>0.09</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>86</td> <td>0.01</td> <td>4</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		0.03	39	0.02	0.09	0.01	86	0.01	4	4	2	0							
risk 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.0 <th< td=""><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>4</td><td></td><td>0</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>		0		0	7	4		0											
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	risk	-	0.0	-	-	-	0.0	-	-	0.19	0.12	0.09	1.00						
7 3 5 3 5 3 5 3 9 4 1		0.19	78	0.11	0.15	0.09	85	0.00	0.08	8	2	0	0						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7		5	3	5		9	4			-							
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	shr	_	-	0.0	_	0.03	_	_	_	0.06	0.00	0.07	0 14	1 00					
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	551	0.14	0.11		0.02	0	0.12	0.08	0.05	0.00 0	0.00	0	6.1.1	0					
0 1		0	1	71	7	8	5	0	8	2	0	0	0	0					
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	Ŭ	0.1				0.0		0.02	0.04	0.22	0.07	0.24	0.12	1.00				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ркеи	- 0.11	0.1	- 0.12	- 0 23	- 0 12	0.0	0.0	0.03	0.04	0.32	0.07	0.34	0.13	1.00				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6	17	с.12	0.25	0.12	71	39	1	2	7	8	3	9	0				
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6		5	0	0													
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	smnb	-	-	0.0	0.10	0.05	-	0.0	0.20	-	-	-	-	-	-	1.0			
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		0.17	0.00	42	1	6	0.00	72	4	0.02	0.06	0.10	0.08	0.13	0.13	00			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6	9				7			0	2	7	7	0	1				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	tk	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.32	0.26	0.0	0.0	0.28	0.03	0.11	-	-	-	-	-	1.0		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		40	01	36	9	0	37	86	3	3	1	0.05	0.05	0.15	0.10	0.14	00		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		10	01	50	,	U	52	00	5	5	1	8	8	2	2	9	00		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	to			0.2	0.27	0.21	0.0	0.0	0.23	0.04	0.00		0.03			0.2	0.4	1.0	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10	- 0.07	- 0.00	0.2	0.27	0.21	0.0	0.0	0.23	0.04	-	- 0.08	0.05	- 0.07	- 0.16	0.2	0.4	1.0	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		7	5	46	3	4	83	98	0	8	7	8	3	2	2	33	92	00	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		/	5									0		2	2				
$\begin{bmatrix} 0.07 & 0.09 \\ 9 & 8 \end{bmatrix} 75 \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 7 & 07 & 0.03 \\ 8 & 9 & 6 \end{bmatrix} 9 \begin{bmatrix} 0.02 & 0.00 & 0.20 & 0.14 & 0.09 & 0.23 \\ 6 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 2 & 7 \end{bmatrix} 32 \begin{bmatrix} 78 & 88 & 00 \end{bmatrix}$	tfl	-	-	0.2	0.21	0.27	0.1	-	0.11	-	- 0.06	-	- 0 1 4	-	- 0.22	0.2	0,2	0.3	1.0
9 8 8 6 3 4 2 2 7		0.07	0.09	75	1	7	07	0.03	9	0.02	0.00	0.20	0.14	0.09	0.23	32	78	88	00
		9	8					8		6	3	4	2	2	7				

Source: Data processed with Stata version 15

Based on the table above, it shows the correlation value between variables is below 0.8, that assumes no correlation between variables, and concludes to no multicollinearity problem in the research model, and no separate testing.

4.3 Classic Assumption Test

4.3.1 Normality Test

After doing a descriptive analysis and looking for a correlation matrix from the data obtained. Afterwards, the first classical assumption test is carried out, it is the normality test of the data obtained.

Table 3	Norma	lity Test
---------	-------	-----------

Variabel	0bs	Pr(Skewness)	Pr(Kurtosis)	adj chi2(2)	Prob>chi2
Model1	276	0.0986	0.0000	-	0.0000
Model2	276	0.0174	0.0000	67.48	0.0000
Model3	276	0.0013	0.0000	31.49	0.0000

Based on the table above for model 1, model 2, and model 3, the data tested showed abnormal distribution with the probability value of Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3 of 0.00 which means below 0.05.

4.3.2 Multicollinearity Test

Based on the tests, the results show

that the VIF value for model 1 is 1.44, model 2 is 1.44, and model 3 is 1.44. This shows that model 1, model 2, and model 3 are free from multicollinearity because the average VIF value is below 10 or (<10).

4.3.3 Multicollinearity Test

Model	chi2	prob>chi2	Keterangan
Model 1 Penggunaan I-Banking	6.30	0.0121	Terdapat Heteroskedastisitas
Model 2 Penggunaan Dompet Digital	0.01	0.9265	Tidak Terdapat Heteroskedastisitas
Model 3 Penggunaan Layanan Fintech	22.89	0.0000	Terdapat Heteroskedastisitas

Table 5 Heteroscedasticity Test

Source: Data processed with Stata version 15

Based on table 5, Model 1 and Model 3 have heteroscedasticity with prob>chi2 values less than 0.05, which are 0.0121 and 0.0000 respectively. However, Model 2 shows that there is no heteroscedasticity with a prob>chi2 value of 0.9265.

4.3.4 Outliers Test

Table 6 Outliers Test Results							
Respondent Serial Number	d1	Respondent Serial Number	d2	Respondent Serial Number	d3		
125	0.0175092	125	0.0000132	125	0.0151674		
137	0.023024	137	0.0055994	137	0.0235976		
147	0.0169033	147	0.0155758	147	0.028454		
201	0.0163706	201	0.0071825	201	0.0170763		
211	0.0205301	211	0.0064284	211	0.0009131		
227	0.0187665	227	0.005445	227	0.022232		
261	0.248296	261	0.0013639	261	0.0004071		
275	0.0183609	275	0.0004563	275	0.0000208		

Source: Data processed with Stata version 15

Based on the table above, it shows that in the sample data whose value is d1>4/n or > 0.0144, are amount 8 data in model 1, model 2, and model 3, to avoid bias due to outlier data, cut off data with extreme values is carried out. The result of the omission were originally 276 observations decreased to 268 observations in model 1, model 2, and model 3.

4.3.5 Regression Test

Table 7 OLS Regression Test Results

	(1)	(2)	(3)
	pib	pdd	pf
gender	-0.0483	-0.121	-0.0931
	(-0.84)	(-1.97)	(-1.67)

umur	-0.109**	-0.156***	-0.139***
umu	(-2.84)	(-3.82)	(-3.76)
mc	-0.0714	0.0783	0.0163
1115	(-0.73)	(0.74)	(0.17)
tn	-0.0739	0.00397	-0.0407
ιp	(-1.85)	(0.09)	(-1.05)
nond	0.197***	0.0651	0.160***
penu	(5.84)	(1.81)	(4.90)
+m1	-0.0523*	-0.0318	-0.0587*
uu	(-2.01)	(-1.14)	(2.34)
hra	0.0307	-0.0225	-0.0141
ing	(1.10)	(-0.75)	(-0.52)
tranc	-0.00624	-0.0128	0.0147
u ans	(-0.18)	(-0.35)	(0.45)
riclz	-0.00502	-0.00771	0.0212
TISK	(-0.17)	(-0.24)	(0.74)
chr	0.0468	-0.00280	0.0227
501	(1.43)	(-0.08)	(0.72)
pkeu	-0.0229	-0.07-4	-0.0185
	(-0.68)	(-1.96)	(-0.57)
smnb	-0.0910* (-2.08)	-0.0229	-0.0684
		(-0.49)	(-1.62)
tk	0.0235	0.0711** (3.28)	0.0407* (2.08)
	(1.16)		
to	0.0512	0.0671	0.0249
	(1.38)	(1.70)	(0.70)
tfl	0.0599*** (3.48)	0.00735	0.0518*** (3.11)
		(0.40)	
_cons	0.653* (2.31)	0.980** (3.24)	0.829** (3.03)
Observations	268	268	268
R-Squared	0.298	0.242	0.265
Adjusted R-Squared	7.121	5.361	6.053

Source: Data processed with Stata version 15

Based on the results of the regression test using the Ordinary Least Square (OLS) method in table 7 above for Model 1, it shows that the age variable test are negative on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.109 and t value of 2.84, and a significance level of 0.01. (1%). The income variable has a positive effect on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.197 and t value of 5.84

and a significance level of 0.001 (1%). The test results for the tru variable have a negative effect on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.0523 and t value of 2.01 with a significance level of 0.05 (5%). The test results for the smnb variable is negative on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.091 and t value of 2.08 at a significance level of0.05 (5%). The results of the tfl variable test have a positive effect on the dependent variable

pib with a coefficient value of 0.0599 and t value of 3.48 at a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%).

Model 2 shows that the test results of the age variable have a negative effect on the dependent variable pdd with a coefficient value of 0.156 and t value of 3.82 at a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The results of the tk variable test have a positive effect on the dependent variable pdd with a coefficient value of 0.0711 and t value of 3.28 at a significance level of 0.01 (1%).

Model 3 is resulting a negative effect of age variable on the value of coefficient 0.139 with Ivalue of 3.76 at a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The results of the income variable test have a positive effect on the dependent variable with a coefficient value of 0.160 and t value of 4.90 with a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The test results for the tru variable negatively affect the dependent variable with a coefficient value of 0.0587 and t value of 2.34 at a significance level of 0.05 (5%). The results of the tk variable test have a positive effect on the dependent variable with a coefficient value of 0.0407 and t value of 2.08 with a significance of 0.05 (5%). Last, the results of the tfl variable test affect the dependent variable positively with a coefficient value of 0.0518 with t value of 3.11 at a significance level of 0.01 (1%).

	(1) pib	(2) pdd	(3)		
		puu			
gender	-0.0483	-0.121*	-0.0931		
0	(-0.84)	(-2.00)	(-1.67)		
umur	-0.109**	-0.156***	-0.139***		
umu	(-2.97)	(-4.24)	(-3.93)		
	-0.0724	0.0783	0.0163		
ms	(-0.74)	(0.82)	(0.17)		
	-0.0739	0.00397	-0.0407		
tp	(-1.82)	(0.09)	(-1.04)		
	0 107***	0.0651	0.160***		
pend	(6.43)	(1.90)	(5 19)		
	0.0522*	0.0210	0.0507*		
tru	-0.0523*	-0.0318	-0.0587*		
	(-2.08)	(-1.05)	(-2.42)		
hrg	0.0307	-0.0225	-0.0141		
	(1.13)	(-0.77)	(-0.55)		
tranc	-0.00624	-0.0128	0.0147		
u alls	(-0.19)	(-0.38)	(0.52)		
	-0.00502	-0.00771	0.0212		
risk	(-0.17)	(-0.23)	(0.72)		
	0.0468	-0.00280	0.0227		
sbr	(1.57)	(-0.09)	(0.76)		
	-0.0229	-0.07-4	-0.0185		
pkeu	(-0.73)	(-1.90)	(-0.61)		
	0.0010*	0.0220			
smnb	-0.0910*	-0.0229	-0.0684		
	(-2.20)	(-0.50)	(-1.08)		
tk	0.0235	0.0711**	0.0407*		
	(1.11)	(3.23)	(2.08)		
to	0.0512	0.0671	0.0249		
	(1.37)	(1.76)	(0.73)		
£0	0.0599***	0.00735	0.0518***		
tii	(3.46)	(0.41)	(3.25)		
	0.653*	0.980**	0.829**		
_cons	(2.27)	(3.42)	(3.09)		
Observations	268	268	268		
Obsci valiolis	200	200	200		

Table 8 Robustness Check Regression Test Results

R-Squared	0.298	0.242	0.265
Adjusted R-Squared	14.40	10.57	9.606

Source: Data processed with Stata version 15

Based on the results of the OLS regression test with Robustness check in table 8 shows in Model 1, the age variable has a negative effect on the dependent variable pib (I-Banking use) with a coefficient of 0.109 with a t value of 2.97 and significant at the level of 0.01 (1 %). The pend variable has a positive effect on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.197 and a t value of 6.43 and a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The test results for the tru variable have a negative effect on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.0523 and a t value of 2.08 with a significance level of 0.05 (5%). The test results for the smnb variable are negative on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.091 and a t value of 2.20 at a significance level of 0.05 (5%). The results of the tfl variable (total financial literacy) also have a positive effect on the dependent variable pib with a coefficient value of 0.0599 and a t value of 3.46 with a significance of 0.001 (0.1%). The results of the regression test on Model 2 show that the test results for the gender variable have a negative effect on the dependent variable pdd with a coefficient value of 0.121 and a t value of 2.00 at a significance level of 0.05 (5%). The test results of the age variable have a negative effect on the dependent variable pdd with a coefficient value of 0.156 and a t value of 4.24 at a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The results of the tk variable test have a positive effect on the dependent variable pdd with a coefficient value of 0.0711 with a t value of 3.23 at a significance level of 0.01 (1%).

In the Model 3, the test results on the age variable have a negative effect with a coefficient value of 0.139 with a t value of 3.93 at a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The results of the pend variable test have a positive effect on the dependent variable with a coefficient value of 0.160 and a t value of 5.19 with a significance level of 0.001 (0.1%). The test results for the tru variable negatively affect the dependent

variable with a coefficient value of 0.0587 and a t value of 2.42 at a significance level of 0.05 (5%). The test results for the tk variable have a positive effect on the dependent variable with a coefficient value of 0.0407 and a t value of 2.08 with a significance of 0.05 (5%). Finally, the results of the tfl variable test affect the dependent variable positively with a coefficient value of 0.0518 with a t value of 3.25 at a significance level of 0.01 (1%).

The results of the regression test with Robustness check were carried out in order to compare the results of the OLS regression test on Model 1, Model 2, and Model 3. It shows a slight insignificant difference between the results of the OLS regression test compared to the Robustness check test.

4.4 Hypothesis Test

4.4.1 Determination Coefficient Test

In the regression model using I-Banking (pib), the R 2 value listed in the appendix is 0.2977. The estimation results show that the strength of the independent and control variables simultaneously described the dependent variable of 29.77% of which 70.23% is described by other variables.

In the digital wallet usage regression model (pdd), the R2 value listed in the attachment is 0.2419. The estimation results show that the strength of the independent and control variables simultaneously describes the dependent variable by 24.19% of which 75.8% is described by other variables.

In the fintech user regression model (pf), the R2 value listed in the attachment is 0.2649. The estimation results show that the strength of the independent and control variables simultaneously describes the dependent variable by 26.49% of which 73.51% is described by other variables.

4.4.2 F Test

The F test on Model 1 which can be

reviewed in the appendix states that the Prob>F value of 0.000 means p-value <0.05, it can be concluded that all independent variables used in the study simultaneously affect the dependent variable of the utilization of I-Banking.

In the F test for Model 2 which can be reviewed in the appendix, it states that the Prob>F value of 0.000 means p-value <0.05, it can be concluded that all independent variables used in the study simultaneously affect the dependent variable of the utilization of Digital Wallets.

In the F test for Model 3 which can be reviewed in the appendix, it states that the Prob>F value of 0.000 means p-value <0.05, it can be concluded that all independent variables used in the study simultaneously affect the dependent variable of the utilization of Fintech.

4.4.3 T Test

The t-test on the regression model using I-Banking in table 4.8 shows the independent variables of age, income (income), tru (trust), smnb (saving nature), and tfl (total financial literacy) partially significant to the dependent variable. On the other hand, the independent variables including ms (marital status), tp (education level), hrg (price), trans (transparency), risk (risk), sbr (patience), pkeu (financial knowledge), tk (total knowledge), and to (total ownership) individually is not significant to the dependent variable.

The t-test in the regression model equation for the use of digital wallets in table 4.8 shows that the independent variables gender, age, and tk (total knowledge) are individually significant on the dependent variable. However, the variables that are individually insignificant are ms, tp, pend, tru, hrg, trans, risk, sbr, pkeu, smnb, to and tfl. The t test in the regression model equation using fintech in table 4.8 shows that the independent variables age, pend, tru, tk and tfl are individually significant on the dependent variable. The variables that are individually insignificant are gender, ms, tp, hrg, trans, risk, sbr, pkeu, smnb, and to.

5 Conlusion and Recommendation

According to the results of research and discussions that have been carried out, it can be concluded that sociodemographic, self-assessment, and financial characteristics have a positive impact on the selection of Fintech service by users in Central Java. With positive age in all dependent variables, it indicates that the younger the age, the more probability they are to use Fintech services.

Suggestions in this research are given to add insight into the development of information and knowledge related to the choice of using Fintech, thus we suggest further research by adding other variables in the study. Besides that, it also expands the research locus more than 1 province in order to add information about the different characteristics of respondents. Moreover, research can also be conducted with different data processing and analysis techniques. If it is carried out in future research, it will provide varietyresearch information and will enrich the range of knowledge about Fintech that is more diverse.

REFERENCES

Accountants, T. A. 2014. Financial education for entrepreneurs: what next? United Kingdom: The Association of Chartered Certified Accountants. Retrieved 10 12, 2018, f r o m https://www.accaglobal.com/content/dam/ac ca/global/PDFtechnical/small-business/ poltp-fefe.pdf

Agrawal, Meenal., & Jain, Ankita. 2020. The Effect of Gender, Age And Family Income on The Usage of E-Wallets. Conferense Spesail Issue. 9. 32-46.

Al-Somali, S. A., Gholami, R., and Clegg, B. 2008. An Investigation into The Acceptance of Online Banking in Saudi Arabia. Technovation, 29:130-141.

Apjii.or.id. Mei 2019. Survei APJII yang Ditunggu-tunggu, Penetrasi Internet Indonesia 2018.

Diakses pada 25 Februari 2020, d a ri <u>https://apjii.or.id/downfile/file/BULETINAPJII</u> <u>EDISI40Mei2019.pdf</u>

Aribawa, Dwitya (2016). Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Terhadap Kinerja Keuangan dan Keberlangsungan UKM di Jawa Tengah, Jurnal Siasat Bisnis, Volumen 20 No. 1 Januari 2016.

Braunstein, S.,dan Welch, C. 2002. Financial Literacy: An Overview of Practice,Research, and Policy. USA: Federal Reserve Bulletin

C. Andreou, Panayiotis., & Anyfantaki, Sofia. 2019. Financial Literacy and its influence on consumers' internet banking behaviour.

Cania Putri Rira Siregar, 2018. Pengaruh Literasi Keuangan Dan Persepsi Risiko Mahasiswa Terhadap Minat Menggunakan Layanan Internet Banking, Skripsi, Fakultas Ekologi Manusia..IPB.

Choudrie, J., Vyas, A. 2014. Silver surfers adopting and using facebook? A quantitative study of Hertfordshire, UK applied to organizational and social change Technol. Forecast. Social Change 89, 293-305.

Choudrie. Dkk. 2018. Understanding and conceptualising the adoption, use and diffusion of mobile banking in older adults: a research agenda and conceptual framework. J. bus. Res. 88, 449-465.

De Blasio, G. 2008. Urban-rural Differences in Internet Usage, E-commerce, and E- banking: *Evidence from Italy, Growth and Change, 39, 2, 341-365.*

Departemen Komunikasi. 2018. Mengenal Financial Teknologi. Diakses dari <u>https://www.bi.go.id/id/edukasi/Pages/meng</u> <u>enal-Financial-Teknologi.aspx.</u> Pada 15

Agustus 2020 pukul 10:00.

Dewi, Kartika Herlina. 2016. Mulai berkembang tahun 2016, begini kondisi fintech Indonesia hingga kuartal II - 2020. Diakses dari

<u>https://keuangan.kontan.co.id/news/mulai-</u> <u>berkembang-tahun-2016-begini-kondisi-fi</u> <u>ntech-indonesia-hingga-kuartal-ii-2020</u> pada 10 Oktober 2020 pukul 13:30.

Ebrahim Esmaili, 2011, The Role of Trust and Other Behavioral Intention Determinants on Intention toward Using Internet Banking, International Journal of Innovation, Management and Technology, 2(1). 95-100.

Friedman, B., Kahn, P.H. Jr and Howe, D.C. 2000. "Trust online", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 43 No. 12, pp. 34-40.

G. Otaya, Lian., 2015. Urgensi Sikap Mahasiswa Menilai Kemampuan Dalam Belajar Melalui Asesmen Diri (Self-Assessment). Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam. 3 (1). 58-67.

Ghozali, I. 2018. Aplikasi Analisis Multivariete SPSS 25 (9th ed.). Semarang: Universitas Diponegoro.

Gulamhuseinwala, Imran., Bull, T., & Lewis, S. 2015. Fintech is gaining traction and young, high – income users are the early adopters. The Journal of Financial Perspectives: FinTech. 3 (3).

Harmadi, Sonny Harry B. 2016. Modul Analisis Data Demografi. Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka.

Harmadi, Sonny Harry B. 2016. Modul Analisis Data Demografi. Tangerang Selatan: Universitas Terbuka.

Hasanah, Uswatun., & Pranata, Darma. 2019. Waqf Financial Technology in Startup Capital. ICIDS 2019.

Heaney, J-G. 2007. Generations X and Y's Internet Banking Usage in Australia, Journal of Financial Services Marketing, 11, 3, 196-210. Heny Agustina, 2017. Penggunaan Teknologi Informasi, Kemudahan, dan Fitur Layanan Terhadap Minat Nasabah Dalam Menggunakan Internet Banking (Studi Pada Bank Syariah Mandiri), Jurnal Manajemen KINERJA,3(1). 24-29.

Hernandez, J.M.C. and Mazzon, J.A. 2007. Adoption of Internet Banking: Proposition and Implementation of an Integrated Methodology Approach, International Journal of Bank Marketing, 25, 2, 72-88.

Hoffman, D.L., Novak, T.P. and Peralta, M. 1999. "Building consumer trust online", Communications of the ACM, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 80-5.

Hosein, Nazim. 2010. "internet banking: Understanding consumer adoption rates among community banks". Shantou University. China.

Indo nesia, P resi den Republ ik . <u>bp h n. go. id .</u> 10 Nov e mbe r 1998 . <u>https://www.bphn.go.id/data/documents/98u</u> <u>u010.pdf</u>

James E, Katz, & Rice Ronald. 2002. Social Consequences of Internet Use: Access, Involvement, and Interaction. London: penerbit The MIT Press.

James, H.L., Wang, H., & Xie, Y. 2018. Busy directors and firm performance: Does firm location matter? North American Journal of Economics and Finance, 45 (Agustus 2017), 1-37.

Dreger, Janno Rasmus. 2019. Estonia's Consumer Behavioural Shift In Digital Banking.

Thesis, School of Business and Governance. Tallin University of Technology.

Jappelli, T., & Padula, M. 2013. Investment in financial literacy and saving decisions.

Journal of Banking & Finance. 37 (8).2779-2791.

Junger, Moritz., & Mietzner, M. 2019. Banking goes digital: The adoption of FinTech Serivces by German Household. Finance Research Letter.

Kasali, Renald, 2006. Change, Jakarta, PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama

Kasmir. 2012. Bank dan Lembaga Kuangan Lainnya. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada. Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. 2017. Materi Pendukung Literasi Finansial.

Jakarta. Tersedia dari gln kemendikbud.

Keuangan.kontan.co.id. 31 Oktober 2019. Kuartal III-2019, transaksi mobile banking sejumlah bank tumbuh signifikan. Diakses pada 26 Februari 2020, dari <u>https://keuangan.kontan.co.id/news/kuartaliii-2019-transaksi-mobile-banking-sejumlahbank-tumbuh-signifikan</u>

Klein, William A & Jhon C. Coffe, Jr, 2004, Business Organization and Finance Legal and Economic Principl's, New York, Foundation Press

Kramer, M.M., 2016. Financial Literacy, confidence and financial advise seeking. J. Econ.

Behave. Organ. 131, 198-217.

Kumparan.com. 21 Februari 2020. Riset: 64% Penduduk Indonesia Sudah Pakai Internet. Dia k s e s pada 23 Februari 2020, d a ri

https://kumparan.com/kumparantech/riset-64-penduduk-indonesia-sudah-pakai-inte rnet-1ssUCDbKILp

Law, Siong Hook. 2018. Applied Panel Data Analysis:Short Panels. Universiti Putra Malaysia Press, Serdang, Selangor. ISBN 978967448685.

Loix, E., R. Pepermans, dan L. V. Hove. 2005. "Who's Afraid of The Cashless Society?, Belgia Survei Evidence". Preliminary Journal. Vrije Universiteit Brussels, Belgium

Lusardi, A. 2012. Numeracy, financial literacy, and financial decision-making (No. w17821).

National Bureau of Economic Research.

Matilla, M. 2001. Essay on Customers in the Dawn of Interactive Banking, Doctoral Dissertation, Jyvaskyla Studies in Business and Economics, University of Jyvaskyla, Finland.

McMillan, J. H., & Hearn, J. 2008. Student selfassessment: The key to stronger student motivation and higher achievement. Educational HORIZONS, 87: 40-49.

Mulyanto, Heru, & Anna Wulandari. 2010. Penelitian Metode&Analisis. Semarang: penerbit CV Agung.

Mustofa, 2017, Manajemen Keuangan, Yogjakarta, Penerbit CV. Andi Offset

Nanang, Martono. 2012. Sosiologi Perubahan Sosial: Perspektif Klasik, Modern, Posmodern, dan Poskolonial. Jakarta: penerbit Rajawali Press.

News.okezone.com. 27 Maret 2012. Mahasiswa Tak Bisa Hidup Tanpa Smartphone. D i a k s e s p a da 25 A p r i l 2020, d a ri https://news.okezone.com/read/2012/03/26/ 373/599857/mahasiswa-tak-bisa-hidup-t

anpa-smartphone

Nguyen, T. A. N., Rozsa, Z. 2019. Financial Literacy and Financial Advice Seeking for Retirement Investment Choice. Journal of Competitiveness, 11(1), 70–83.

Oktorina, Trivena. 2018. Pengaruh Disipilin Belajar dan Lingkungan Sosial Terhadap Prestasi Belajar Mahasiswa Program Studi Pendidikan Ekonomi Universitas Sanata Darma. Yogyakarta: Universitas Sanata Darma

Peraturan Otoritas Jasa Keuangan,2018, Nomor 12/POJK.03/2018 tentang Penyelenggaraan Layanan Perbankan Digital Oleh Bank Umum.

Pikkarainen. Et. Al. 2002. Consumer acceptance of online banking: an extension of the technology acceptance model, Journal Internet Research. 14(3). 224-235.

Purwanto. 2009. Evaluasi Hasil Belajar. Surakarta: Pustaka Pelajar. Purwanto, M. Ngalim. 2010. Psikologi Pendidikan. Bandung: PT. Remaja.

Pwc.com. July 2018. PwC Survey: Digital Banking in Indonesia 2018. Diakses pada 25 A p r i l

2020, dari

https://www.pwc.com/id/en/publications/ass ets/financialservices/digital-banking-sur vey-2018-pwcid.pdf

Rada.2021. PengertianAssassment . Diakses da ri <u>https://dosenpintar.com/pengertian-</u> <u>assessment/#Menurut_Robert_M_Smith.</u> Pada 3 July 2021 pukul 16:30.

Rindjin, Ketut, 2008, Pengantar Perbankan dan Lembaga Keuangan Bukan Bank, Jakarta:PT. Gramedia Pustaka Utama.

Rizal, M., Maulina, E., & Kostini, N. 2018. Fintech One Of The Financing Solutions For SMEs. AdBispreneurâ \in : Jurnal Pemikiran dan Penelitian Administrasi Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan, 3(2), 12.

Schlein, Lisa. 2016. Pendiri WEF: Dunia Tak Siap Hadapi Revolusi Industri ke-4. Diakses d a ri

https://www.voaindonesia.com/a/pendiri-wefdunia-tak-siap-hadapi-revolusi-industri <u>-keempat/3144637.html.</u> Pada 13 Agustus 2020 pukul 19:00.

Servon, Lisa J., & Kaestner, Robert. 2008. Consumer Financial Literacy and the Impact of Online Banking on the Financial Behaviour of Lower-Income Bank Customers. The Journal of Consumer Affairs. 42 (2).

Stiglitz, E Joseph. 2007. Making Globalization Work. Terjemahan, Jakarta: Mizan. Sugiyono. 2018. Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D. Bandung : Alfabeta,

CV. hlm. 147.

Sujati. 2015. Seld Assessment Sebagai Salah Satu Teknik Penilaian Dalam PembelajaranIPA. Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Sains. 288-293.

Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia, 1998, Nomor 10 Tahun 1998 Tentang Perubahan Atas Undang-Undang Nomor 7 Tahun 1992 Tentang Perbankan.

Wartaekonomi.co.id. 21 Maret 2019. Apa Itu Literasi Keuangan?. diakses pada 26 April 2020, dari

https://www.wartaekonomi.co.id/read220393 /apa-itu-literasi-keuangan Yoshino, Naoyuki, J. Morgan, Peter., and Q. Long, Trinh. 2020. Financial Literacy andFintech Adoption In Japan.